Approved by the AUA Board of Directors April 2016

Authors' disclosure of potential conflicts of interest and author/staff contributions appear at the end of the article.

© 2016 by the American Urological Association

American Urological Association (AUA) Guideline

MALE URETHRAL STRICTURE: AUA GUIDELINE

Hunter Wessells, MD; Kenneth W. Angermeier, MD; Sean P. Elliott, MD; Christopher M. Gonzalez, MD; Ron T. Kodama, MD; Andrew C. Peterson, MD; James Reston, Ph.D.; Keith Rourke, MD; John T. Stoffel, MD; Alex Vanni, MD; Bryan Voelzke, MD; Lee Zhao, MD; Richard A. Santucci, MD

PURPOSE

The purpose of this guideline is to provide a clinical framework for the diagnosis and treatment of urethral stricture.

METHODS

A systematic review of the literature using the Pubmed, Embase, and Cochrane databases (search dates 1/1/1990 to 12/1/2015) was conducted to identify peer-reviewed publications relevant to the diagnosis and treatment of urethral stricture. The review yielded an evidence base of 250 articles after application of inclusion/exclusion criteria. These publications were used to create the guideline statements. If sufficient evidence existed, then the body of evidence for a particular treatment was assigned a strength rating of A (high quality evidence; high certainty), B (moderate quality evidence; moderate certainty), or C (low quality evidence; low certainty) and evidence-based statements of Strong, Moderate, or Conditional Recommendation based on risks and benefits were developed. Additional information is provided as Clinical Principles and Expert Opinions when insufficient evidence existed.

GUIDELINE STATEMENTS

Diagnosis/Initial Management

- Clinicians should include urethral stricture in the differential diagnosis of men who present with decreased urinary stream, incomplete emptying, dysuria, urinary tract infection (UTI), and after rising post void residual. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 2. After performing a history, physical examination, and urinalysis, clinicians may use a combination of patient reported measures, uroflowmetry, and ultrasound post void residual assessment in the initial evaluation of suspected urethral stricture. (Clinical Principle)
- 3. Clinicians should use urethro-cystoscopy, retrograde urethrography, voiding cystourethrography, or ultrasound urethrography to make a diagnosis of urethral stricture. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 4. Clinicians planning non-urgent intervention for a known stricture should determine the length and location of the urethral stricture. (Expert Opinion)
- 5. Surgeons may utilize urethral endoscopic management (e.g. urethral dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy [DVIU]) or immediate suprapubic

Male Urethral Stricture

- cystostomy for urgent management of urethral stricture, such as discovery of symptomatic urinary retention or need for catheterization prior to another surgical procedure. (Expert Opinion)
- 6. Surgeons may place a suprapubic (SP) cystostomy prior to definitive urethroplasty in patients dependent on an indwelling urethral catheter or intermittent self-dilation. (Expert Opinion)

Dilation/Internal Urethrotomy/Urethroplasty

- Surgeons may offer urethral dilation, direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU), or urethroplasty for the initial treatment of a short (< 2 cm) bulbar urethral stricture. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 8. Surgeons may perform either dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) when performing endoscopic treatment of a urethral stricture. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 9. Surgeons may safely remove the urethral catheter within 72 hours following uncomplicated dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU). (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 10. In patients who are not candidates for urethroplasty, clinicians may recommend self-catheterization after direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) to maintain urethral patency. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 11. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty, instead of repeated endoscopic management for recurrent anterior urethral strictures following failed dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU). (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 12. Surgeons who do not perform urethroplasty should offer patients referral to surgeons with expertise. (Expert Opinion)

Anterior Urethral Reconstruction

- 13. Surgeons may initially treat meatal or fossa navicularis strictures with either dilation or meatotomy. (Clinical Principle)
- 14. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty to patients with recurrent meatal or fossa navicularis strictures. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 15. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty to patients with penile urethral strictures, given the expected high recurrence rates with endoscopic treatments. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 16. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty as the initial treatment for patients with long (≥2cm) bulbar urethral strictures, given the low success rate of direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) or dilation. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 17. Surgeons may reconstruct long multi-segment strictures with one stage or multi-stage techniques using oral mucosal grafts, penile fasciocutaneous flaps or a combination of these techniques. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 18. Surgeons may offer perineal urethrostomy as a long-term treatment option to patients as an alternative to urethroplasty. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 19. Surgeons should use oral mucosa as the first choice when using grafts for urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)
- 20. Surgeons should not perform substitution urethroplasty with allograft, xenograft, or synthetic materials except under experimental protocols. (Expert Opinion)
- 21. Surgeons should not perform a single-stage tubularized graft urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Male Urethral Stricture

22. Surgeons should not use hair-bearing skin for substitution urethroplasty. (Clinical Principle)

Pelvic Fracture Urethral Injury

- 23. Clinicians should use retrograde urethrography with voiding cystourethrogram and/or retrograde + antegrade cystoscopy for preoperative planning of delayed urethroplasty after pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI). (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 24. Surgeons should perform delayed urethroplasty instead of delayed endoscopic procedures after urethral obstruction/obliteration due to pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI). (Expert Opinion)
- 25. Definitive urethral reconstruction for pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI) should be planned only after major injuries stabilize and patients can be safely positioned for urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Bladder Neck Contracture/Vesicourethral Stenosis

- 26. Surgeons may perform a dilation, bladder neck incision or transurethral resection for bladder neck contracture after endoscopic prostate procedure. (Expert Opinion)
- 27. Surgeons may perform a dilation, vesicourethral incision, or transurethral resection for post-prostatectomy vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)
- 28. Surgeons may perform open reconstruction for recalcitrant stenosis of the bladder neck or post-prostatectomy vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Special Circumstances

- 29. In men who require chronic self-catheterization (e.g. neurogenic bladder), surgeons may offer urethroplasty as a treatment option for urethral stricture causing difficulty with intermittent self-catheterization. (Expert Opinion)
- 30. Clinicians may perform biopsy for suspected lichen sclerosus (LS), and must perform biopsy if urethral cancer is suspected. (Clinical Principle)
- 31. In lichen sclerosus (LS) proven urethral stricture, surgeons should not use genital skin for reconstruction. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade B)

Post-operative Follow-up

32. Clinicians should monitor urethral stricture patients to identify symptomatic recurrence following dilation, direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) or urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Male Urethral Stricture

INTRODUCTION

Purpose

Urethral stricture is chronic fibrosis and narrowing of the urethral lumen caused by acute injury, inflammatory conditions, and iatrogenic interventions including urethral instrumentation or surgery and prostate cancer treatment. The symptoms of urethral stricture are non-specific and may overlap with other common conditions including lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and urinary tract infections (UTI) to confound timely diagnosis. Urologists play a key role in the initial evaluation of urethral stricture and currently provide all accepted treatments. Thus, urologists must be familiar with the evaluation and diagnostic tests for urethral stricture as well as endoscopic and open surgical treatments. This guideline provides evidence guidance to clinicians and patients regarding how to recognize symptoms and signs of a urethral stricture/ stenosis, carry out appropriate testing to determine the location and severity of the stricture, and recommend the best options for treatment. The most effective approach for a particular patient is best determined by the individual clinician and patient in the context of that patient's history, values, and goals for treatment. As the science relevant to urethral stricture evolves and improves, the strategies presented here will be amended to remain consistent with the highest standards of clinical care.

Methodology

Systematic review. A systematic review was conducted to identify published articles relevant to the diagnosis and treatment of urethral stricture. Literature searches were performed on English-language publications using the Pubmed, Embase, and Cochrane databases from 1/1/1990 to 12/1/2015. Data from studies published after the literature search cut-off will be incorporated into the next version of this guideline. Preclinical studies (e.g., animal models), commentary, editorials, non-English language publications, and meeting abstracts were excluded. Additional exclusion criteria were as follows: studies of females: studies of stricture prevention; patients with epispadias, congenital strictures, and duplicated urethra; trauma already covered under trauma guidelines including diagnosis and management of acute pelvic fracture

urethral injury (PFUI) or disruption (PFUD); urethral cancer not related to stricture; or voiding symptoms not related to stricture. Studies with less than 10 patients were generally excluded from further evaluation and thus data extraction given the unreliability of the statistical estimates and conclusions that could be derived from them. In rare instances, we have included studies with less than 10 patients or studies preceding the literature search date if no other evidence was identified. For certain key questions that had little or no evidence from comparative studies, we included case series with 50 or more patients. Review article references were checked to ensure inclusion of all possible relevant studies. Multiple reports on the same patient group were carefully examined to ensure inclusion of only non-redundant information. The systematic review yielded a total of 250 publications relevant to preparation of the guideline.

Quality of Individual Studies and Determination of Evidence Strength. The quality of individual studies that were either RCTs or CCTs was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool.¹ Observational cohort studies with a comparison of interest were evaluated with the Drug Effectiveness Review Project instrument.² Conventional diagnostic cohort studies, diagnostic casecontrol studies, or diagnostic case series that presented data on diagnostic test characteristics were evaluated using the QUADAS 2 tool, which evaluates the quality of diagnostic accuracy studies.³ Because there is no widely agreed upon quality assessment tool for single cohort observational intervention studies, the quality of these studies was not assessed.

The categorization of evidence strength is conceptually distinct from the quality of individual studies. Evidence strength refers to the body of evidence available for a particular question and includes not only individual study quality but also consideration of study design, consistency of findings across studies, adequacy of sample sizes, and generalizability of samples, settings, and treatments for the purposes of the guideline. The AUA categorizes the strength of a body of evidence as Grade A (well-conducted and highly-generalizable RCTs or exceptionally strong observational studies with consistent findings); Grade B (RCTs with some weaknesses of procedure or generalizability or moderately strong observational studies with consistent

Male Urethral Stricture

findings); or Grade C (RCTs with serious deficiencies of procedure, generalizability, or extremely small sample sizes or observational studies that are inconsistent, have small sample sizes, or have other problems that potentially confound interpretation of data). By definition, Grade A evidence is evidence about which the Panel has a high level of certainty, Grade B evidence is evidence about which the Panel has a moderate level of certainty, and Grade C evidence is evidence about which the Panel has a low level of certainty.⁴

AUA Nomenclature: Linking Statement Type to **Evidence Strength.** The AUA nomenclature system explicitly links statement type to body of evidence strength, level of certainty, magnitude of benefit or risk/burdens, and the Panel's judgment regarding the balance between benefits and risks/burdens (see Table 1). Strong Recommendations are directive statements that an action should (benefits outweigh risks/burdens) or should not (risks/burdens outweigh benefits) be undertaken because net benefit or net harm is substantial. *Moderate Recommendations* are directive statements that an action should (benefits outweigh risks/burdens) or should not (risks/burdens outweigh benefits) be undertaken because net benefit net harm is moderate. Conditional **Recommendations** are non-directive statements used when the evidence indicates that there is no apparent net benefit or harm or when the balance between benefits and risks/burden is unclear. All three statement types may be supported by any body of evidence strength grade. Body of evidence strength Grade A in support of a Strong or Moderate Recommendation indicates that the statement can be applied to most patients in most circumstances and that future research is unlikely to change confidence. Body of evidence strength Grade B in support of a Strong or Moderate Recommendation indicates that the statement can be applied to most patients in most circumstances but that better evidence could change confidence. Body of evidence strength Grade C in support of a Strong or Moderate Recommendation indicates that the statement can be applied to most patients in most circumstances but that better evidence is likely to change confidence. Body of evidence strength Grade C is only rarely used in support of a

Strong Recommendation. Conditional Recommendations also can be supported by any body of evidence strength. When body of evidence strength is Grade A, the statement indicates that benefits and risks/burdens appear balanced, the best action depends on patient circumstances, and future research is unlikely to change confidence. When body of evidence strength Grade B is used, benefits and risks/burdens appear balanced, the best action also depends on individual patient circumstances and better evidence could change confidence. When body of evidence strength Grade C is used, there is uncertainty regarding the balance between benefits and risks/burdens, alternative strategies may be equally reasonable, and better evidence is likely to change confidence.

For some clinical issues, particularly diagnosis, there was little or no evidence from which to construct evidence-based statements. Where gaps in the evidence existed, the Panel provides guidance in the form of *Clinical Principles* or *Expert Opinion* with consensus achieved using a modified Delphi technique if differences of opinion emerged. A *Clinical Principle* is a statement about a component of clinical care that is widely agreed upon by urologists or other clinicians for which there may or may not be evidence in the medical literature. *Expert Opinion* refers to a statement, achieved by consensus of the Panel, that is based on members' clinical training, experience, knowledge, and judgment for which there is no evidence.

Process. The Urethral Stricture Panel was created in 2013 by the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc. (AUA). The Practice Guidelines Committee (PGC) of the AUA selected the Panel Co-Chairs who in turn appointed the additional panel members with specific expertise in this area. The AUA conducted a thorough peer review process. The draft guidelines document was distributed to 90 peer reviewers. The panel reviewed and discussed all submitted comments and revised the draft as needed. Once finalized, the guideline was submitted for approval to the PGC and the AUA Science and Quality Council. Then it was submitted to the AUA Board of Directors for final approval. Funding of the panel was provided by the AUA; panel members received no remuneration for their work.

TABLE 1: AUA Nomenclature Linking Statement Type			
to Level of Certainty, Magnitude of Benefit or Risk/Burden, and Body of Evidence Strength			
	Evidence Strength A	Evidence Strength B	Evidence Strength C
	(High Certainty)	(Moderate Certainty)	(Low Certainty)
Strong Recommendation	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)
(Net benefit or harm substantial)	Net benefit (or net harm) is substantial	Net benefit (or net harm) is substantial	Net benefit (or net harm) appears substantial
	Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence	Applies to most patients in most circumstances but better evidence could change confidence	Applies to most patients in most circumstances but better evidence is likely to change confidence
	dence		(rarely used to support a Strong Recommendation)
Moderate Recommendation	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)	Benefits > Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)
(Net benefit or harm moderate)	Net benefit (or net harm) is moderate	Net benefit (or net harm) is moderate	Net benefit (or net harm) appears moderate
	Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence	Applies to most patients in most circumstances but better evidence could change confidence	Applies to most patients in most circumstances but better evidence is likely to change confidence
Conditional Recommendation	Benefits = Risks/Burdens	Benefits = Risks/Burdens	Balance between Benefits & Risks/Burdens unclear
(No apparent net benefit or harm)	Best action depends on individual patient circumstances	Best action appears to depend on individual patient circumstances	Alternative strategies may be equally reasonable
	Future research unlikely to change confidence	Better evidence could change confidence	Better evidence likely to change confidence
Clinical Principle	A statement about a component of clinical care that is widely agreed upon by urologists or other clinicians for which there may or may not be evidence in the medical literature		
Expert Opinion	A statement, achieved by consensus of the Panel, that is based on members' clinical training, experience, knowledge, and judgment for which there is no evidence		

Male Urethral Stricture

Background

The urethra extends from the bladder neck, which is composed of smooth muscle circular fibers, to the meatus, with varying histological features and stromal support based on anatomical location. The components of the posterior urethra are lined with transitional epithelium, whereas the anterior urethra is lined with pseudostratified columnar epithelium that changes to stratified squamous epithelium in the fossa navicularis. The posterior urethra includes both the prostatic and membranous urethra. The prostatic urethra extends from the distal bladder neck to the distal end of the The distal external sphincter veru montanum. mechanism surrounds the membranous urethra and is comprised of both intrinsic smooth muscle and rhabdosphincter. The anterior urethra includes the bulbar urethra, penile urethra and fossa navicularis. This urethra is completely surrounded by the corpus spongiosum, which in the bulbar urethra is surrounded by the bulbocavernosus muscle. The fossa navicularis is located entirely within the glans penis.

Urethral stricture is the preferred term for any abnormal narrowing of the anterior urethra, which runs from the bulbar urethra to the meatus and is surrounded by the corpus spongiosum. Urethral strictures are associated with varying degrees of spongiofibrosis. Narrowing of the posterior urethra, which lacks surrounding spongiosum, is thus referred to as a "stenosis." Pelvic fracture urethral injury typically creates a distraction defect with resulting obstruction or obliteration.

Urethral strictures or stenoses are treated endoscopically or with urethroplasty. Endoscopic management is performed by either urethral dilation or direct vision internal urethrotomy (DVIU). There are a multitude of different urethroplasty techniques that can be generally divided into tissue transfer involved procedures and non -tissue transfer involved procedures. Anastomotic urethroplasty does not involve tissue transfer and can be performed in both a transecting and non-transecting manner. Excision and primary anastomosis (EPA) urethroplasty involves transection and removal of the narrowed segment of corresponding urethra and spongiofibrosis with anastomosis of the two healthy ends of the urethra.

Non-transecting anastomotic urethroplasty preserves the corpus spongiosum, thus allowing the strictured urethra to be excised and reanastomosed, or incised longitudinally through the narrowed segment of the urethra and closed in a Heineke-Mikulicz fashion.

Techniques that involve tissue transfer can be categorized into single stage and multi-stage procedures. In single stage procedures, the urethra is augmented in caliber by transferring tissue in the form of a graft or flap. Multi-stage procedures use a graft as a urethral substitute for future tubularization.

Epidemiology

Geographic setting, socioeconomic factors and access to healthcare can affect stricture etiology. In developed countries, the most common etiology of urethral stricture is idiopathic (41%) followed by iatrogenic (35%). Late failure of hypospadias surgery and stricture resultant from endoscopic manipulation (e.g. transurethral resection) are common iatrogenic reasons. In comparison, trauma (36%) is the most common cause in developing countries, reflecting higher rates of road traffic injuries, less developed trauma systems, inadequate roadway systems and conceivably socioeconomic factors leading to a higher prevalence of trauma-related strictures.⁷⁻⁹

Strictures in the bulbar urethra predominate over other anatomic locations; however, certain etiologies are closely associated with an anatomic segment of the urethra. For example, strictures related to hypospadias - and lichen sclerosus (LS—previously termed balanitis xerotica obliterans) are generally located in the penile urethra, while traumatic strictures and stenoses tend to be located in the bulbar and posterior urethra.

Preoperative Assessment

Presentation

Patients with urethral stricture most commonly present with decreased urinary stream and incomplete bladder emptying but may also demonstrate UTI, epididymitis, rising post-void residual urine volume or decreased force of ejaculation. Additionally, patients may present with urinary spraying or dysuria.¹⁰

Patient Reported Outcomes Measures

Patient reported measures (PRMs) help elucidate the

Male Urethral Stricture

presence and severity of patient symptoms and bother and thus may serve as an important component of urethral stricture diagnosis and management. While the American Urological Association Symptom Index (AUASI) includes items assessing decreased urinary stream and incomplete bladder emptying, it does not identify other symptoms seen in patients with a urethral stricture, such as urinary spraying and dysuria. Therefore, there is a need for development of a standardized urethral stricture PRM that can be used to assess symptoms, degree of bother, and quality of life impact. A more disease specific standardized PRM will also allow for comparison of patient outcomes across research studies.

Diagnosis

All men being evaluated for lower urinary tract symptoms should have a complete history and physical examination and urinalysis at a minimum. Decreased urinary stream, incomplete emptying and other findings such as urinary tract infection should alert clinicians to include urethral stricture in the differential diagnosis. In the initial assessment of patients suspected of having a urethral stricture, a combination of PRMs to assess symptoms, uroflowmetry to determine severity of obstruction, and ultrasound post-void residual volume to identify urinary retention may be used. Patients with symptomatic urethral stricture typically have a reduced peak flow rate. 11,12 Confirmation of a urethral stricture diagnosis is made with urethroscopy, retrograde urethrography, or ultrasound urethrography. Urethroscopy readily identifies a urethral stricture, but does not delineate the location and length of strictures. Retrograde urethrography (RUG) with or without voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) allows identification of stricture location in the urethra, length of the stricture, and degree of lumen narrowing. 13,14 All of these stricture characteristics are important for subsequent treatment planning. urethrography can be used to identify the location, length and severity of the stricture. 15 While ultrasound urethrography is a promising technique, further studies are needed to validate its value in clinical practice.

Preoperative assessment for definitive reconstruction should elicit details of the etiology, diagnostic information about length and location of the stricture, and prior treatments. In the case of pelvic fracture urethral injury, a detailed history should document all associated injuries and angiographic embolization of any pelvic vessels. The history should assess preoperative erectile function and urinary continence. Physical examination should include an abdominal and genital exam, digital rectal exam, and assessment of lower extremity mobility for operative positioning.

Patient Selection

Patient selection and proper surgical procedure choice are paramount to maximize the chance of successful outcome in the treatment of urethral stricture. The main factors to consider in decision making include: stricture etiology, location, and severity; prior treatment; comorbidity; and patient preference. As with any operation, surgeons should consider a patient's goals, preferences, comorbidities and fitness for surgery prior to performing urethroplasty. ¹⁶

Operative Considerations

Before proceeding with surgical management of a urethral stricture, the physician should provide an appropriate antibiotic to reduce surgical site infections. Preoperative urine cultures are recommended to guide antibiotic choice, and active urinary tract infections must be treated before urethral stricture intervention. Prophylactic antibiotic choice and duration should follow AUA Best Practice Policy Statement. 17 To avoid bacterial resistance, antibiotics should be discontinued after a single dose or within 24 hours. Antibiotics can be extended in the setting of an active urinary tract infection or if there is an existing indwelling catheter. 17 In the setting of endoscopic urethral stricture management, oral fluoroquinolones are more cost effective than intravenous cephalosporins leading the AUA Antimicrobial Prophylaxis panel to support their use.¹⁷ Antimicrobial prophylaxis is recommended at the time of urethral catheter removal in patients with certain risk factors. 17

Positioning of the extremities should be careful to avoid pressure on the calf muscles, peroneal nerve and ulnar nerve when using the lithotomy position. Use of sequential compression devices is recommended to reduce deep venous thromboembolism (VTE) and nerve compression injuries. Perioperative parenteral VTE

Male Urethral Stricture

prophylaxis is a consideration in select circumstances for open reconstruction.

Postoperative Care

A urinary catheter should be placed following urethral stricture intervention to divert urine from the site of intervention and prevent urinary extravasation. Either urethral catheter or suprapubic cystostomy is a viable option; a urethral catheter is thought to be optimal as it may serve as a stent around which the site of urethra intervention can heal. The length of urinary catheterization is widely variable, with a shorter recommended time for endoscopic interventions than open urethral reconstruction.¹⁸

Urethrography or voiding cystography is typically performed two to three weeks following open urethral reconstruction to assess for complete urethral healing. Replacement of the urinary catheter is recommended in the setting of a persistent urethral leak to avoid tissue inflammation, urinoma, abscess, and/or urethrocutaneous fistula. A urethral leak will heal in almost all circumstances with a longer duration of catheter drainage. 19,20

Complications

Erectile dysfunction, as measured by the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) may occur transiently after urethroplasty with resolution of nearly all reported symptoms approximately six months postoperatively. The symptoms are six months approximately and symptoms are causalized in the subsequent urethrology. The symptoms are six measured by the International Index of Symptoms and International Internati

Ejaculatory dysfunction manifested as pooling of semen, decreased ejaculatory force, ejaculatory discomfort, and decreased semen volume has been reported by up to 21% of men following bulbar urethroplasty. Urethroplasty technique may play a role in the occurrence of ejaculatory dysfunction but the exact etiology remains uncertain. 29-31 Conversely, some

patients, as measured by the Men's Sexual Health Questionnaire (MSHQ), will notice an improvement in ejaculatory function following bulbar urethroplasty, particularly those with pre-operative ejaculatory dysfunction related to obstruction caused by the stricture. Data on ejaculatory function in men undergoing penile urethroplasty or urethroplasty for PFUI is limited.

Follow Up

Successful treatment for urethral stricture (endoscopic or surgical) is most commonly defined as no further need for surgical intervention or instrumentation. 32-44 Some studies use the absence of postoperative or postpatient reported obstructive voiding procedural symptoms and/or peak uroflow > 15m/sec as a benchmark for successful treatment. 45-50 Additional measures of success that have been used alone or in combination include urethral patency assessed by urethro-cystoscopy, absence of recurrent stricture on urethrography, post-void residual urine <100mL, "unobstructed" flow curve shape on uroflowmetry, absence of urinary tract infection, ability to pass a urethral catheter, and patient reported improvement in lower urinary tract symptoms. 51-55 Consensus has not been reached on the optimal postoperative surveillance protocol to identify stricture recurrence following urethral stricture treatment.

GUIDELINE STATEMENTS

Diagnosis/Initial Management

 Clinicians should include urethral stricture in the differential diagnosis of men who present with decreased urinary stream, incomplete emptying, dysuria, urinary tract infection (UTI), and rising post void residual. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Differences in stricture characteristics (e.g. location, length, luminal diameter), duration of obstruction, and other factors create a heterogeneous combination of subjective complaints related to a symptomatic urethral stricture. Other urologic conditions such as benign prostate enlargement (with or without bladder outlet obstruction), bladder outlet obstruction, and abnormal detrusor function can present with similar subjective findings, making diagnosis challenging. Young men do

Male Urethral Stricture

not commonly present with voiding urinary symptoms, therefore a urethral stricture should be considered in the differential diagnosis.

Common risk factors for developing a urethral stricture include a history of hypospadias surgery, urethral catheterization or instrumentation, traumatic injury, transurethral surgery, and prostate cancer treatment.^{7,9,56} The stricture etiology will be idiopathic Among iatrogenic strictures, in many men. transurethral surgery is the most common etiology. 7,56 While inflammatory disorders are a less common etiology, LS-related urethral strictures are most troublesome among these stricture types. LS-related urethral strictures tend to be longer than other stricture etiologies, more commonly present in the penile urethra, and may have a higher association with urethral cancer. 7,9

Men with urethral stricture most commonly report a weak urine stream and incomplete bladder emptying, although other symptoms may be urinary, erectile, and/or ejaculatory in nature. 10 Voiding symptoms not captured by the AUASI include urine spraying (13%) and dysuria (10%); 10 the former symptom is more common among patients with penile than bulbar urethral strictures. Recurrent urethral stricture causes the same general constellation of symptoms including weak stream, painful urination, and UTI.57 Sexual dysfunction is present in a small minority of men with urethral stricture, with erectile dysfunction being more commonly reported than ejaculatory dysfunction. 10 Sexual dysfunction has been reported to be a more common presenting symptom among men with a history of hypospadias failure and LS.10 A small subset of men with urethral stricture who are being evaluated for a different urological issue will not have urinary or sexual dysfunction complaints. 10

 After performing a history, physical examination, and urinalysis, clinicians may use a combination of patient reported measures, uroflowmetry, and ultrasound post void residual assessment in the initial evaluation of suspected urethral stricture. (Clinical Principle)

A number of self-report instruments, including the AUASI, have been used to evaluate men for lower

urinary tract symptoms. Individual questions from these instruments may be used to detect symptoms consistent with stricture disease.

If symptoms and signs suggest the presence of a stricture, noninvasive measures such as uroflowmetry may then definitively delineate low flow, which is typically considered to be less than 12 mL per second. 11,12 Similarly, ultrasonographic post void residual measurement may detect poor bladder emptying. The presence of voiding symptoms as described above, in combination with reduced peak flow rate for age, place patients at higher probability for therefore indicating definitive urethral stricture, evaluation such as cystoscopy, retrograde urethrography, or ultrasound urethrography.

 Clinicians should use urethro-cystoscopy, retrograde urethrography, voiding cystourethrography, or ultrasound urethography to make a diagnosis of urethral stricture. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Endoscopy and/or radiological imaging of the urethra is essential for confirmation of the diagnosis, assessment of stricture severity (e.g. staging), and procedure selection. History, physical examination, and adjunctive measures described above in Statements One and Two cannot definitively confirm a urethral stricture. Urethroscopy identifies and localizes urethral stricture and allows evaluation of the distal caliber, but the length of the stricture and the urethra proximal to the urethral stricture cannot be assessed in most cases. When flexible cystoscopy does not allow visual assessment proximal to the urethral stricture, small caliber cystoscopy with a flexible ureteroscope or flexible hysteroscope can be useful adjuncts. MRI can provide important detail in select cases (i.e., PFUI, diverticulum, fistula, cancer).

Retrograde Urethrography

Retrograde urethrography (RUG), with or without voiding cystourethrography, remains the study of choice for delineation of stricture length, location, and severity. However, the image quality and accuracy of RUG is operator-dependent; surgical planning should be based on high quality images

Male Urethral Stricture

generated by experienced practitioners or the surgeon him/herself.⁵⁹

The modestly invasive nature of RUG reflects the potential risks, including patient discomfort, urinary tract infection, hematuria, and contrast extravasation. UTI is rare and contrast extravasation is very rare in expert hands. Exposure to the contrast puts the patient at risk for a contrast reaction, should there be an allergy. The risk is very low in the absence of inadvertent extravasation, and may be mitigated by pre -medication with oral corticosteroids and histamine blockers. Complete or near complete occlusion of the urethra may make the assessment of the urethra proximal to the stricture difficult. In this instance, RUG combined with antegrade cystourethrography or other methods to define the extent of the stricture.

Ultrasound urethrography

Ultrasound urethrography may serve to diagnose the presence of urethral stricture as well as describe the location, length, and severity of narrowing of strictures. It has a high sensitivity and specificity in the anterior urethra but shares the drawbacks of RUG, including patient discomfort and dependence on a skilled ultrasonographer. Some advocate the use of urethral sonography (ultrasound urethrography) to define the extent of spongiofibrosis and absolute length of the urethral stricture, although this is not strictly required and is not used by a majority of stricture experts.

Clinicians planning non-urgent intervention for a known stricture should determine the length and location of the urethral stricture. (Expert Opinion)

Determination of urethral stricture length and location allows the patient and urologist to engage in an informed discussion about treatment options, perioperative expectations, and expected outcomes following urethral stricture therapy. In addition, preoperative planning permits operative and anesthetic planning.

5. Surgeons may utilize urethral endoscopic management (e.g. urethral dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy [DVIU]) or

immediate suprapubic cystostomy for urgent management of urethral stricture, such as discovery of symptomatic urinary retention or need for catheterization prior to another surgical procedure. (Expert Opinion)

When urethral strictures are identified at the time of catheter placement for another surgical procedure, assessment of the need for catheterization should be made. Urethral catheter placement may not be required for surgical procedures that are short in duration. If catheterization is deemed necessary, the primary consideration should be safe urinary drainage. Urethral strictures may be dilated in this setting to allow catheter insertion, and dilation over a guidewire is recommended to prevent false passage formation or rectal injury. Alternatively, internal urethrotomy may be performed, particularly if the stricture is too dense to be adequately dilated. Suprapubic cystotomy may also be performed to provide urinary drainage at the time of surgery if these initial maneuvers are unsuccessful, or when subsequent definitive treatment for urethral stricture is planned in the near future.

 Surgeons may place a suprapubic (SP) cystostomy prior to definitive urethroplasty in patients dependent on an indwelling urethral catheter or intermittent self-dilation. (Expert Opinion)

Proper evaluation of a urethral stricture may require a period without urethral instrumentation to determine the true severity of the stricture including its degree of narrowing. Men with a urethral stricture who have been managed with either an indwelling urethral catheter or self-dilation should generally undergo suprapubic cystostomy placement prior to imaging. This allows the full length of the stricture to develop, and accurate determination of definitive treatment options. Although no specific studies have evaluated the efficacy of this approach, experts agree that a period of "urethral rest" between 4-12 weeks allows the stricture to mature prior to evaluation and management. 75 This is thought to maximize success by not underestimating the length of stricture and degree of spongiofibrosis. A similar period of observation is recommended before reassessing a stricture after failure or dilation or DVIU.

Dilation/Internal Urethrotomy/Urethroplasty

Male Urethral Stricture

 Surgeons may offer urethral dilation, direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU), or urethroplasty for the initial treatment of a short (< 2 cm) bulbar urethral stricture. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Short bulbar urethral strictures may be treated by dilation, DVIU, or urethroplasty. Urethral dilation and DVIU have similar long-term outcomes in short strictures, with success ranging from 35-70%. The success of endoscopic treatment depends on the location and length of the stricture, with the highest success rates found in those with bulbar strictures less than 1 cm. T9-81 Conversely, success rates for dilation or DVIU of strictures longer than 2cm are very low.

Urethroplasty has a higher long-term success rate than endoscopic treatment, ranging from 80-95%. Urethroplasty may be offered as the initial treatment for a short bulbar urethral stricture, but the higher success rate of this treatment compared to endoscopic treatment must be weighed against the increased anesthesia requirement, cost, and higher morbidity of urethroplasty.

8. Surgeons may perform either dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) when performing endoscopic treatment of a urethral stricture. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Dilation and DVIU have similar success and complication rates and can be used interchangeably. Few studies exist that compare different methods of performing DVIU, but cold knife and laser incision of the stricture scar appear to have similar success rates and may be used interchangeably. 82,83 Other methods of incision may be used experimentally, such as PlasmaKinetic incision. 54 A small experimental study suggests that holmium: YAG laser urethrotomy may have higher success rates in iatrogenic strictures. 82

Clinicians may endoscopically inject a urethral stricture at the time of DVIU to reduce risk of stricture recurrence. The few studies available showed a generally consistent lower stricture recurrence rate when steroids were added to DVIU, although the findings did not reach statistical significance and follow

up was relatively short.^{84,85} Mitomycin C injected at the time of DVIU has also been shown to reduce stricture recurrence rate, although data is limited regarding long term follow up.⁸⁶

 Surgeons may safely remove the urethral catheter within 72 hours following uncomplicated dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU). (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

The reported length of catheterization after dilation or DVIU is highly variable in the literature, ranging from one to eight days. ^{78,81,82,87,-91} There is no evidence that leaving the catheter longer than 72 hours improves safety or outcome, and catheters may be removed after 24-72 hours. Catheters may be left in longer for patient convenience or if in the surgeon's judgment early removal will increase the risk of complications.

 In patients who are not candidates for urethroplasty, clinicians may recommend self-catheterization after direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) to maintain temporary urethral patency. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Studies using varying self catheterization schedules after DVIU, ranging from daily to weekly, have demonstrated that stricture recurrence rates were significantly lower among patients performing selfcatheterization (risk ratio 0.51, 95% CI 0.32 to 0.81, p = 0.004).88,92-95 The optimal protocol for DVIU plus selfcatheterization remains uncertain. However, data suggests that performing self-catheterization for greater than four months after DVIU reduced recurrence rates compared to performing catheterization for less than three months. 88,92-97 Even though the risk of UTI does not appear to be increased in patients performing self catheterization after DVIU, the ability to continue with self-catheterization may be limited in some patients by manual dexterity or pain with catheterization.88,96,98

11. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty, instead of repeated endoscopic management for recurrent anterior urethral strictures following failed dilation or direct visual

Male Urethral Stricture

internal urethrotomy (DVIU). (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Urethral strictures that have been previously treated with dilation or DVIU are unlikely to be successfully treated with another endoscopic procedure, 91 with failure rates of >80%.99 Repeated endoscopic treatment may cause longer strictures, and may increase the complexity of subsequent urethroplasty. 100 In patients who are unable to undergo, or who prefer to avoid, urethroplasty, repeated endoscopic procedures, or intermittent self-catheterization may be considered as palliative measures.

12. Surgeons who do not perform urethroplasty should offer patients referral to surgeons with expertise. (Expert Opinion)

When evaluating a patient with a recurrent urethral stricture, a physician who does not perform urethroplasty should consider referral to a surgeon with experience in this technique due to the higher rate of successful treatment compared to repeat endoscopic management. The relationship between surgical volume and quality is an area for future investigation. There are cases series that suggest, as with many surgical procedures, that better outcomes following urethroplasty are associated with greater surgeon experience. 101,102

Anterior Urethral Reconstruction

13. Surgeons may initially treat meatal or fossa navicularis strictures with either dilation or meatotomy. (Clinical Principle)

First time presentation of an uncomplicated urethral stricture confined to the meatus or fossa navicularis can be treated with simple dilation or meatotomy with or without guidewire placement, as long as it is not associated with previous hypospadias repair, prior failed endoscopic manipulation, previous urethroplasty, or LS.³⁹

Strictures related to hypospadias and LS require unique treatment strategies. However, in the setting of LS there is some evidence that extended meatotomy in conjunction with high-dose topical steroids may decrease the risk of recurrence as compared to

meatotomy alone. 104 Additionally, no evidence exists on the optimal caliber of dilation or the need to implement a post dilation intermittent catheterization regimen to reduce stricture recurrence.

14. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty to patients with recurrent meatal or fossa navicularis strictures. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Meatal and fossa navicularis strictures refractory to endoscopic procedures are unlikely to respond to further endoscopic treatments. 77,78,81,90,91,105,106 Furthermore, urethroplasty is the best option for completely obliterated strictures or strictures associated with hypospadias or LS. Some patients may opt for repeat endoscopic treatments or intermittent self-dilation in lieu of more definitive treatment such as urethroplasty. Similar to other types of stricture, exact delineation of length and etiology is important for guiding treatment.

Urologists have a variety of options at their disposal for the surgical treatment of meatal and fossa strictures, including meatoplasty, extended meatotomy, and several variations of urethroplasty. It is important to consider both aesthetic and functional outcomes when reconstructing strictures involving the glanular urethra. Simple reconfiguration of the meatus can be performed using a variety of techniques but is best suited to non-obliterated strictures confined to the meatus. ¹⁰³ In this setting, there is an approximate 75% chance of success. ¹⁰³ Meatotomy and extended meatotomy have also been employed with success rates up to 87%. ^{39,103}

Reconstruction of the fossa navicularis can be achieved using a variety of techniques and tissue sources without possible negative cosmetic and functional consequences of meatotomy. One-stage urethroplasty for recurrent meatal and fossa navicularis strictures has been reported with acceptable outcomes. ^{39,107-109}The most commonly used tissue sources are penile fasciocutaneous flaps and oral mucosal grafts. In the absence of LS, penile fasciocutaneous flaps have been used most commonly, with reported short-term success rates up to 94%. ^{39,103,109-111} Strictures related to LS are less likely to be reconstructed successfully using genital skin transfer, because LS is a condition of the genital

Male Urethral Stricture

skin. ¹¹² In these instances, the success of oral mucosal grafts has been reported between 83%-100%. ^{107,108,113}

In the setting of failed hypospadias surgery, no single technique can be recommended, although the absence of adjacent skin for transfer increases the likelihood of requiring a staged oral mucosa graft urethroplasty.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁸

15. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty to patients with penile urethral strictures, because of the expected high recurrence rates with endoscopic treatments. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Strictures involving the penile urethra are more likely to be related to hypospadias, LS, or iatrogenic etiologies when compared to strictures of the bulbar urethra, and are thus unlikely to respond to dilation or urethrotomy, except in select cases of previously untreated, short strictures. Tr,78,81,90,91 Given the low likelihood of success with endoscopic treatments, most patients with penile urethral strictures should be offered urethroplasty at the time of diagnosis, avoiding repeated endoscopic treatments. When compared to bulbar strictures, penile urethral strictures are more likely to require tissue transfer and/or a staged approach. 112,119

When performing single stage urethroplasty, penile fasciocutaneous flaps and oral mucosal grafts have been used in differing configurations. ^{39,47,110,111,120-124} Success rates in penile urethroplasty for properly selected patients appear similar regardless of tissue and technique used. ^{122,125,126}

16. Surgeons should offer urethroplasty as the initial treatment for patients with long (≥2cm) bulbar urethral strictures, given the low success rate of direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) or dilation. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Longer strictures are less responsive to endoscopic treatment, with success rates of only 20% for strictures longer than 4cm in the bulbar urethra. The success rate for buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty for strictures of this length is greater than 80%. 41,127,128

Given the low efficacy of endoscopic treatment, urethroplasty should be offered to patients with long urethral strictures. Urethroplasty may be performed using a variety of techniques based on the experience of the surgeon, most often through substitution or augmentation of the narrowed segment of the urethra.

17. Surgeons may reconstruct long multi-segment strictures with one stage or multi-stage techniques using oral mucosal grafts, penile fasciocutaneous flaps or a combination of these techniques. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Multi-segment strictures (frequently referred to as panurethral strictures) are most commonly defined as strictures over 10 cm in length spanning long segments of both the penile and bulbar urethra. These strictures are particularly complex to treat surgically.³⁵ Several treatment options exist including long-term endoscopic management, urethroplasty, or perineal urethrostomy. Clinicians should be aware that panurethral strictures are very unlikely to be treated successfully with endoscopic means, which offer only temporary relief of obstruction. 77,78,81,90,91,105,106 However, urethroplasty in these instances is also more complicated, timeconsuming, and have a higher failure rate as compared to urethroplasty for less complicated strictures. 35,129,130 Thus, some patients may choose repeat endoscopic treatments, with or without a self-dilation protocol, or a perineal urethrostomy, in order to avoid complex urethral reconstructive surgery.

Reconstruction of panurethral strictures should be addressed with all of the tools in the reconstructive armamentarium including fasciocutaneous flaps, oral mucosal grafts, or other ancillary tissue sources, and may require a combination of these techniques. ^{35,121,131} These labor intensive and technically challenging surgeries are best performed at established high volume reconstructive centers. Several tissue sources have been reported including oral mucosal grafts, various skin grafts, and genital fasciocutaneous flaps. ^{35,121,131} Regardless of technique and combinations, success rates appear similar in all of these small series. Superior efficacy of "double graft" procedures has not yet been demonstrated and these techniques are

Male Urethral Stricture

typically applied to select instances of urethral obliteration. 19,44,47,52,113,132,133 Staged procedures may offer a conservative approach suited to the most complex strictures such as those related to failed hypospadias surgery. 114-118

18. Surgeons may offer perineal urethrostomy as a long term treatment option to patients as an alternative to urethroplasty. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Perineal urethrostomy can be used as a staged or permanent option for patients with anterior urethral strictures in order to establish unobstructed voiding and improve quality of life. Reasons to perform perineal urethrostomy include recurrent or primary complex anterior stricture, advanced age, medical co-morbidities precluding extended operative time, extensive LS, numerous failed attempts at urethroplasty, and patient choice.^{39,134,135} **Patients** undergoing perineal urethrostomy have reported high quality of life, although surgical revision may be necessary to maintain patency over long term follow up. 134,135 Successful treatment with perineal urethrostomy has been reported in both traumatic and LS strictures. 134,135 There are no data demonstrating that a specific surgical technique is associated with a higher patient quality of life or long term patency rate.

19. Surgeons should use oral mucosa as the first choice when using grafts for urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Oral mucosa is the preferred graft for substitution urethroplasty. Patient satisfaction is higher for oral mucosa due to less post-void dribbling and penile skin problems. 45,136

Oral mucosa may be harvested from the inner cheeks, which provide the largest graft area, the undersurface of the tongue, or the inner lower lip. Harvest of buccal mucosa from the inner cheek results in fewer complications and better outcomes as compared to a lower lip donor site. A randomized controlled trial comparing buccal and lingual donor sites demonstrated that minor morbidity lasted longer following lingual graft harvest, while other cohort studies have exhibited inconsistent findings. S1,138 None reported any

major complications.

When harvesting buccal mucosa from the inner cheek, the donor site may safely be left open to heal by secondary intention or closed primarily. ¹³⁹ Ultimately the decision to close the donor site primarily or leave it open is at the discretion of the surgeon.

Surgeons should not perform substitution urethroplasty with allograft, xenograft, or synthetic materials except under experimental protocols. (Expert Opinion)

Use of non-autologous grafts may be indicated in the patient who has failed a prior urethroplasty and has no tissue available for reoperative substitution urethroplasty. However, experience to date is limited and the long term success rates are unknown. 37,140-143 Such patients should be considered for referral to a center involved in clinical trials using allograft, xenograft, engineered or synthetic materials.

21. Surgeons should not perform a single-stage tubularized graft urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Tubularized urethroplasty consists of a technique in which a graft or flap is rolled into a tube over a catheter to completely replace a segment of urethra. This approach, when attempted in a single stage, has a high risk of restenosis and should be avoided. When no alternative exists, a tubularized flap can be performed with results that are inferior to onlay flaps. 144,145 Currently, available alternatives include combined tissue transfer (e.g. a dorsal buccal graft combined with a ventral skin flap in a single stage), combined dorsal and ventral grafts (e.g. a dorsal graft in the technique of Asopa and a ventral onlay graft), or staged urethroplasty with local skin flaps or oral mucosa grafts.

22. Surgeons should not use hair-bearing skin for substitution urethroplasty. (Clinical Principle)

The use of hair-bearing skin for substitution urethroplasty may result in urethral calculi, recurrent urinary tract infection and a restricted urinary stream due to hair obstructing the lumen, and therefore should be avoided except in rare cases where no alternative exists. Intraurethral hair should be suspected in

Male Urethral Stricture

patients who report these symptoms and have a history of prior tubularized urethroplasty or surgery for proximal hypospadias, in which scrotal skin may have been incorporated into the repair and demonstrate later hair growth.

Pelvic Fracture Urethral Injury

23. Clinicians should use retrograde urethrography with voiding cystourethrogram and/or retrograde + antegrade cystoscopy for preoperative planning of delayed urethroplasty after pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI). Recommendation; (Moderate **Evidence** Strength Grade C)

Pre-operative evaluation of the distraction defect after PFUI should include retrograde urethrography, voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) and/or retrograde urethroscopy. The VCUG may include a static cystogram to determine the competency of the bladder neck mechanism and the level of the bladder neck in relation to the symphysis pubis. Other adjunctive studies may include antegrade cystoscopy (with or without fluoroscopy) and pelvic CT or MRI to assess the proximal extent of the injury, degree of malalignment of the urethra, and length of the defect.

24. Surgeons should perform delayed urethroplasty instead of delayed endoscopic procedures after urethral obstruction/obliteration due to pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI). (Expert Opinion)

The acute treatment of PFUI includes endoscopic primary catheter realignment or insertion of a SP tube. The resulting distraction defect, stenosis or obliteration should be managed with delayed perineal anastomotic urethroplasty. Repeated endoscopic maneuvers including intermittent catheterization should be avoided because they are not successful in the majority of PFUI, increase patient morbidity, and may delay the time to anastomotic reconstruction. Clinicians should avoid blind "cut to the light" procedures in the obliterated PFUI since they are rarely successful in long term follow up.

Anastomotic reconstruction is performed through a perineal approach. Excision of the scar tissue and wide

spatulation of the anastomosis is required. Several methods to gain urethral length and reduce tension can be employed when necessary including mobilization of the bulbar urethra, crural separation, inferior pubectomy and supracrural rerouting, but in most cases the latter two maneuvers are not required. In rare cases, trans abdominal or transpubic techniques may be required. In order to potentially decrease the potential for vascular compromise to the urethra, a bulbar artery sparing approach has been described. No comparative study has yet shown any definitive benefit. Clinicians should refer patients to appropriate tertiary care centers for reconstruction when necessary.

25. Definitive urethral reconstruction for pelvic fracture urethral injury (PFUI) should be planned only after major injuries stabilize and patients can be safely positioned for urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

The timing of urethral reconstruction in PFUI is highly dependent on patient factors. No optimal time to perform urethral reconstruction has been established, with studies reporting a wide range of times from six weeks to four years. Reconstruction should occur when patient factors allow the surgery to be performed (usually within three to six months after the trauma). Patient positioning in the lithotomy (standard, high, or exaggerated) may be limited until orthopedic and lower extremity soft tissues injuries have resolved.

Bladder Neck Contracture/Vesicourethral Stenosis

26. Surgeons may perform a dilation, bladder neck incision or transurethral resection for bladder neck contracture after endoscopic prostate procedure. (Expert Opinion)

Treatment of bladder neck contractures following endoscopic prostate procedures can be performed with either a bladder neck incision or bladder neck resection depending on surgeon preference, with comparable outcomes expected. Repeat endoscopic treatment may be necessary for successful outcomes. No studies exist that compare the different treatment strategies for bladder neck contractures after endoscopic prostate procedures.

27. Surgeons may perform a dilation,

Male Urethral Stricture

vesicourethral incision, or transurethral resection for post-prostatectomy vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

Treatment of first time vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis is successful in about 50-80% of cases, with all techniques having similar success rates. 147-151 Success appears to be lower in cases with prior pelvic radiation; however, prospective cohort studies including radiated and nonradiated patients are lacking. Repeat endoscopic treatment may be necessary for successful treatment. There is conflicting data about the utility of Mitomycin-C for the treatment of recurrent vesicourethral stenosis, with further study necessary to validate its use. 152,153 Patients should be made aware of the risk of incontinence after any of these procedures.

28. Surgeons may perform open reconstruction for recalcitrant stenosis of the bladder neck or post-prostatectomy vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade C)

The treatment of recalcitrant vesicourethral anastomotic stenosis must be tailored to the preferences of the patient, taking into consideration prior radiotherapy and the degree of urinary incontinence. Urethral reconstruction is challenging and may cause significant urinary incontinence requiring subsequent artificial urinary sphincter implantation, but offers success rates of approximately 66-80%. 154,155 Success rates are lower after radiation. For the patient who does not desire urethroplasty, repeat urethral dilation, incision or resection of the stenosis is appropriate. Intermittent self-dilation with a catheter may be used to prolong the time between operative interventions. Suprapubic diversion is an alternative.

Special Circumstances

29. In men who require chronic selfcatheterization (e.g. neurogenic bladder), surgeons may offer urethroplasty as a treatment option for urethral stricture causing difficulty with intermittent selfcatheterization. (Expert Opinion) In men with neurogenic bladder urethral pathology may include stricture, diverticulum, fistula, and erosion. Bladder function must be considered prior to urethroplasty as significant underlying detrusor dysfunction it may alter the course of treatment. It is unclear if anterior urethroplasty in this setting has higher rates of complications, stricture recurrence or reoperation when compared to men with anterior urethral stricture and intact bladder function. 156,157 There is some evidence to suggest that urethral reconstruction, if offered at an early stage in men with stricture and neurogenic bladder, can achieve outcomes comparable to men without neurogenic bladder. 157 It is not definitively known if resumption of intermittent catheterization following anterior urethroplasty impacts the risk of stricture recurrence.

30. Clinicians may perform biopsy for suspected lichen sclerosus (LS), and must perform biopsy if urethral cancer is suspected. (Clinical Principle)

The external manifestations of LS in males can range in severity from mild to aggressive. It is most commonly found in the genital region and may be associated with urethral strictures. 158-160 LS may mimic many other skin diseases: therefore, biopsy is the best method for definitive diagnosis. The rate of squamous cell carcinoma in male patients with LS has been reported to be 2-8.6% thus further indicating the need for biopsy in selected cases both to confirm the diagnosis as well as to exclude malignant or premalignant changes. 160-163

31. In lichen sclerosus (LS) proven urethral stricture, surgeons should not use genital skin for reconstruction. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Strength Grade B)

Goals of management of LS should be to alleviate symptoms, prevent and treat urethral stricture disease and prevent and detect malignant transformation.¹⁵⁹

Treatment of genital skin LS reduces symptoms, such as skin itching and bleeding, and may serve to prevent meatus stenosis and progression to extensive stricture of the penile urethra. Current therapies rely heavily on topical moderate- to high-potency steroid creams, such

Male Urethral Stricture

as clobetasol or mometasone creams. Calcineurin inhibitors such as tacrolimus have been shown to cause regression in external skin manifestations. 159

Reconstruction of anterior urethral strictures associated with LS should proceed according to principles outlined previously, with the caveat that the use of genital skin flaps and grafts should be avoided due to very high long-term failure rates. 112,138,164,165

Post-operative Follow-up

32. Clinicians should monitor urethral stricture patients to identify symptomatic recurrence following dilation, direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) or urethroplasty. (Expert Opinion)

Urethral stricture recurrence following endoscopic treatment or urethroplasty can occur at any time in the postoperative period, and, because of this, a specific regimen for postoperative follow-up cannot be reliably determined. The surgeon may consider more frequent follow-up intervals in men at an increased risk for stricture recurrence including those with prior failed treatment (multiple endoscopic procedures or previous urethroplasty), tobacco use, diabetes, increasing stricture length, strictures related to LS, hypospadias, or a repair involving a flap or graft. 101,102,122,129,130, 165-173

Surgeons can use a number of diagnostic tests to detect or screen for stricture recurrence following open or endoscopic treatment (see guideline statements 1 and 2); however the use of, or combination of, urethrocystoscopy, urethral ultrasound, or RUG appears to provide the most definitive confirmation of stricture recurrence. 60-67,174,175 No specific urethral lumen diameter, determined endoscopically or radiographically, has been shown to be diagnostic of a stricture recurrence.

Although stents are not currently recommended for the treatment of urethral stricture. Patients treated with a urethral stent after dilation or internal urethrotomy should be monitored for recurrent stricture and complications. Recurrent strictures have been reported in new urethral regions outside of the stent placement in addition to within the stent treated region. Patients with completely obstructed stents may require

open urethroplasty and removal of the stent.¹⁷⁸ Other stent complications include stent-induced hematuria, urethral pain, urinary incontinence, and chronic urinary tract infection.^{99,176-180} Complications can occur at any time point after stent placement, so long term monitoring with cystoscopy or urethral imaging, is advised. Stents do not need to be prophylactically removed and should be followed conservatively unless associated with significant urethral or voiding symptoms.

RESEARCH NEEDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Much of the literature on the topic urethral strictures consists of single surgeon or single institution case series with inconsistent definitions of disease process, success of treatment, and follow up. These inconsistencies resulted in difficulty in comparison between studies. These inadequacies in the literature means there is ample opportunities for future research. To improve the quality of research, the Panel recommends the following:

- Research terms should be standardized to allow comparison between centers—the International Consultation on Urological Diseases⁶ nomenclature should be used. For example, the term "urethral stricture" should be applied to a narrowing of the anterior urethra that restrict the flow of urine.
- In studies of the treatment of urethral strictures, multiple criteria for success should be reported.
 When data is available, studies should report success based several criteria: patient reported outcome measures, symptoms, uroflowmetry, radiography, cystoscopy, and need for subsequent procedures. Reporting success based on multiple criteria would facilitate comparison between multiple studies.
- The duration and type of follow up should be reported in all studies of urethral stricture treatment, follow up based on time of last clinic visit, telephone contact, or absence of known treatment for recurrence. Time-to-event analysis (Kaplan-Meier) should be reported.
- Multi-institutional collaboration should be formed to evaluate management of uncommon diagnoses

Male Urethral Stricture

- such as pelvic fracture urethral injury, hypospadias, panurethral strictures, and LS.
- Multi-centered randomized clinical trials, pragmatic trials, or registries should be created for evaluation of techniques, such as injection of antiproliferative agents during DVIU, dorsal versus ventral onlay buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty, and skin flap versus oral mucosa graft urethroplasty.
- Multiple measures have been used to determine and report success after treatment for urethral stricture. Currently there is no universally accepted definition of success following treatment for urethral stricture. Multi-center randomized trials are necessary to standardize follow-up protocols to accurately and efficiently define successful treatment, and enable comparative effectiveness research across centers.

Urethral stricture remains a subject of active investigation. The Panel suggests the following issues in future investigations:

- Both basic science and epidemiological research into the etiology of urethral strictures.
- Prevention of traumatic strictures through educational efforts on proper technique of catheter insertion.
- Studies on the effectiveness of early diagnosis and treatment of LS toward prevention of disease progression and urethral stricture formation.
- Basic science and animal studies using novel graft materials for urethral reconstruction—stem cells, tissue-engineered scaffolds, etc.
- Long term follow up for adults in patients who have been treated as children, such as urethral stricture in adults after hypospadias repair.
- Further evaluation of alternative sources of autologous graft material.
- The efficacy of injection of anti-proliferative or other pharmacological agents at time of endoscopic incision for urethral stricture and bladder neck contracture.

- The relationship between of urethroplasty and erectile dysfunction.
- Role of urethral transection in urethroplasty regarding morbidity and outcomes.
- The optimal timing and duration of perioperative antibiotics given at the time of urethrotomy and urethroplasty.
- Determination of the ideal tissue for substitution urethroplasty.

REFERENCES

- Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 5.1.0 [database online]. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2011 Mar 20 [accessed 2012 Dec 04].
- Appendix B: quality assessment methods for drug class reviews for the drug effectiveness review project. [internet]. Portland (OR): Oregon Health & Science University; 2005 Jan 01 [accessed 2010 May 28]. [5 p].
- Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, Leeflang MM, Sterne JA, Bossuyt PM. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Oct 18;155(8):529-36.
- 4. Faraday M, Hubbard H, Kosiak B, Dmochowski R. Staying at the cutting edge: a review and analysis of evidence reporting and grading; the recommendations of the American Urological Association. BJU Int. 2009 Aug; 104(3): 294-7.
- Hsu C and Sandford BA. The Delphi Technique: making sense of consensus. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation. 2007 Aug; 12(10):1-8.
- Latini JM, McAninch JW, Brandes SB, Chung JY, Rosenstein D. SIU/ICUD Consultation On Urethral Strictures: Epidemiology, etiology, anatomy, and nomenclature of urethral stenoses, strictures, and pelvic fracture urethral disruption injuries. Urology. 2014 Mar;83(3 Suppl):S1-7. doi: 10.1016/ j.urology.2013.09.009. Epub 2013 Nov 8. Review.
- 7. Stein DM, Thum DJ, Barbagli G, Kulkarni S, Sansalone S, Pardeshi A, Gonzalez CM. A geographic analysis of male urethral stricture aetiology and location. BJU Int. 2013 Oct;112 (6):830-4.
- 8. Fenton AS, Morey AF, Aviles R, Garcia CR. Anterior urethral strictures: etiology and characteristics. Urology. 2005 Jun;65(6):1055-8.
- Lumen N, Hoebeke P, Willemsen P, De Troyer B, Pieters R, Oosterlinck W. Etiology of urethral stricture disease in the 21st century. J Urol. 2009 Sep;182(3):983-7.

- Nuss GR, Granieri MA, Zhao LC, Thum DJ, Gonzalez CM. Presenting symptoms of anterior urethral stricture disease: A disease specific, patient reported questionnaire to measure outcomes. J Urol. 2012 Feb;187(2):559-62.
- 11. Erickson BA, Breyer BN, McAninch JW. Changes in uroflowmetry maximum flow rates after urethral reconstructive surgery as a means to predict for stricture recurrence. J Urol. 2011 Nov;186(5):1934-7.
- 12. Erickson BA, Breyer BN, McAninch JW. The use of uroflowmetry to diagnose recurrent stricture after urethral reconstructive surgery. J Urol. 2010 Oct;184(4):1386-90.
- 13. Mahmud SM, El KS, Rana AM, Zaidi Z. Is ascending urethrogram mandatory for all urethral strictures? J Pak Med Assoc. 2008 Aug;58(8):429-31.
- 14. Andersen J, Aagaard J, Jaszczak P. Retrograde urethrography in the postoperative control of urethral strictures treated with visual internal urethrotomy. Urol Int. 1987;42(5):390-1.
- 15. McAninch JW, Laing FC, Jeffrey RB Jr. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of urethral strictures: a preliminary report. J Urol. 1988 Feb;139(2):294-7.
- Santucci RA, McAninch JW, Mario LA, Rajpurkar A, Chopra AK, Miller KS, Armenakas NA, Tieng EB, Morey AF. Urethroplasty in patients older than 65 years: indications, results, outcomes and suggested treatment modifications. J Urol. 2004 Jul;172 (1):201-3.
- 17. American Urological Association. Best practice policy statement on urologic surgery antimicrobial prophylaxis. Linthicum (MD): American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc.; 2008 [updated 2014 Jan]. 48 p.
- 18. Al Qudah HS, Cavalcanti AG, Santucci RA. Early catheter removal after anterior anastomotic (3 days) and ventral buccal mucosal onlay (7 days) urethroplasty. Int Braz J Urol. 2005 Sep-Oct;31 (5):459-64.

- 19. Palminteri E, Berdondini E, Shokeir AA, Iannotta L, Gentile V, Sciarra A. Two-sided bulbar urethroplasty using dorsal plus ventral oral graft: Urinary and sexual outcomes of a new technique. J Urol. 2011 May;185(5):1766-71.
- 20. El Kassaby AW, El Zayat TM, Azazy S, Osman T. One-stage repair of long bulbar urethral strictures using augmented Russell dorsal strip anastomosis: outcome of 234 cases. Eur Urol. 2008 Feb;53 (2):420-4.
- 21. Anger JT, Sherman ND, Webster GD. The effect of bulbar urethroplasty on erectile function. J Urol. 2007 Sep;178(3):1009-11.
- 22. Johnson EK, Latini JM. The impact of urethroplasty on voiding symptoms and sexual function. Urology. 2011 Jul;78(1):198-201. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2011.01.045.
- 23. Dogra PN, Saini AK, Seth A. Erectile dysfunction after anterior urethroplasty: A prospective analysis of incidence and probability of recovery-single-center experience. Urology. 2011 Jul;78(1):78-81.
- 24. Erickson BA, Granieri MA, Meeks JJ, Cashy JP, Gonzalez CM. Prospective analysis of erectile dysfunction after anterior urethroplasty: incidence and recovery of function. J Urol. 2010 Feb;183 (2):657-61.
- 25. Erickson BA, Wysock JS, McVary KT, Gonzalez CM. Erectile function, sexual drive, and ejaculatory function after reconstructive surgery for anterior urethral stricture disease. BJU Int. 2007 Mar;99 (3):607-11.
- 26. Blaschko SD, Sanford MT, Cinman NM, McAninch JW, Breyer BN. De novo erectile dysfunction after anterior urethroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BJU Int. 2013 Sep;112(5):655-63.
- 27. Feng C, Xu YM, Barbagli G, Lazzeri M, Tang CY, Fu Q, Sa YL. The relationship between erectile dysfunction and open urethroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Sex Med. 2013 Aug;10 (8):2060-8.

- 28. Erickson BA, Granieri MA, Meeks JJ, McVary KT, Gonzalez CM. Prospective analysis of ejaculatory function after anterior urethral reconstruction. J Urol. 2010 Jul;184(1):238-42.
- 29. Andrich DE, Leach CJ, Mundy AR. The Barbagli procedure gives the best results for patch urethroplasty of the bulbar urethra. BJU Int. 2001;88(4):385-9.
- 30. Palminteri E, Berdondini E, De Nunzio C, Bozzini G, Maruccia S, Scoffone C, Carmignani L. The impact of ventral oral graft bulbar urethroplasty on sexual life. Urology. 2013 Apr;81(4):891-8.
- 31. Dubey D, Kumar A, Bansal P, Srivastava A, Kapoor R, Mandhani A, Bhandari M. Substitution urethroplasty for anterior urethral strictures: A critical appraisal of various techniques. BJU Int. 2003 Feb;91(3):215-8.
- 32. Wang P, Fan M, Zhang Y, Huang C, Feng J, Xiao Y. Modified urethral pull-through operation for posterior urethral stricture and long-term outcome. J Urol. 2008 Dec;180(6):2479-85.
- 33. Liu Y, Zhuang L, Ye W, Ping P, Wu M. One-stage dorsal inlay oral mucosa graft urethroplasty for anterior urethral stricture. BMC Urol. 2014;14 (1):35.
- 34. Singh A, Panda SS, Bajpai M, Jana M, Baidya DK. Our experience, technique and long-term outcomes in the management of posterior urethral strictures. J Pediatr Urol. 2014 Feb;10(1):40-4.
- 35. Kulkarni SB, Joshi PM, Venkatesan K. Management of panurethral stricture disease in India. J Urol. 2012 Sep;188(3):824-30. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.05.020. PMID: 22818345
- 36. Ahmad H, Mahmood A, Niaz WA, Akmal M, Murtaza B, Nadim A. Bulbar uretheral sricture repair with buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty. J Pak Med Assoc. 2011 May;61(5):440-2. PMID: 22204174

- 37. Xu YM, Fu Q, Sa YL, Zhang J, Song LJ, Feng C. Outcome of small intestinal submucosa graft for repair of anterior urethral strictures. Int J Urol. 2013 Jun;20(6):622-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-2042.2012.03230.x. PMID: 23131085
- 38. Fu Q, Zhang J, Sa YL, Jin Sb, Xu Ym. Transperineal bulboprostatic anastomosis in patients with simple traumatic posterior urethral strictures: A retrospective study from a referral urethral center. Urology. 2009 Nov;74(5):1132-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2009.05.078. PMID: 19716593
- 39. Morey AF, Lin HC, DeRosa CA, Griffith BC. Fossa navicularis reconstruction: impact of stricture length on outcomes and assessment of extended meatotomy (first stage Johanson) maneuver. J Urol. 2007 Jan;177(1):184-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2006.08.062. PMID: 17162036
- 40. Zhou FJ, Xiong YH, Zhang XP, Shen PF. Transperineal end-to-end anastomotic urethroplasty for traumatic posterior urethral disruption and strictures in children. Asian J Surg. 2002;25(2):134-8. PMID: 12376233
- 41. Barbagli G, Palminteri E, Guazzoni G, Montorsi F, Turini D, Lazzeri M. Bulbar urethroplasty using buccal mucosa grafts placed on the ventral, dorsal or lateral surface of the urethra: Are results affected by the surgical technique? J Urol. 2005 Sep;174(3):955-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000169422.46721.d7.
- 42. Barbagli G, Palminteri E, Lazzeri M, Turini D. Interim outcomes of dorsal skin graft bulbar urethroplasty. J Urol. 2004 Oct;172(4):1365-7. A I s o a v a i l a b l e : h t t p : //dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000139727.70523.30. PMID: 15371845
- 43. Santucci RA, Mario LA, McAninch JW. Anastomotic urethroplasty for bulbar urethral stricture: Analysis of 168 patients. J Urol. 2002;167(4):1715-9. PMID: 11912394

- 44. Erickson BA, Breyer BN, McAninch JW. Single-stage segmental urethral replacement using combined ventral onlay fasciocutaneous flap with dorsal onlay buccal grafting for long segment strictures. BJU Int. 2012 May;109(9):1392-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10483.x. PMID: 21880103
- 45. Soliman MG, Abo Farha M, El Abd AS, Abdel Hameed H, El Gamal S. Dorsal onlay urethroplasty using buccal mucosa graft versus penile skin flap for management of long anterior urethral strictures: a prospective randomized study. Scand J Urol. 2014 Oct;48(5):466-73. Epub 2014 Mar 3. Also a v a i l a b l e : h t t p : / / dx.doi.org/10.3109/21681805.2014.888474. PMID: 24579804
- 46. Lingual versus buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty for anterior urethral stricture: a prospective comparative analysis. Int J Urol. 2013 Dec;20 (12):1199-203. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/iju.12158. PMID: 23601029
- 47. Goel A, Goel A, Jain A. Buccal mucosal graft urethroplasty for penile stricture: Only dorsal or combined dorsal and ventral graft placement? Urology. 2011 Jun;77(6):1482-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2010.12.058. PMID: 21354596
- 48. Sa YL, Xu YM, Qian Y, Jin SB, Fu Q, Zhang XR, Zhang J, Gu BJ. A comparative study of buccal mucosa graft and penile pedical flap for reconstruction of anterior urethral strictures. Chin Med J. 2010 Feb 5;123(3):365-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.03.020. PMID: 20193261
- 49. Raber M, Naspro R, Scapaticci E, Salonia A, Scattoni V, Mazzoccoli B, Guazzoni G, Rigatti P, Montorsi F. Dorsal onlay graft urethroplasty using penile skin or buccal mucosa for repair of bulbar urethral stricture: Results of a prospective single center study. Eur Urol. 2005 Dec;48(6):1013-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2005.05.003. PMID: 15970374

- 50. Rourke KF, McCammon KA, Sumfest JM, Jordan GH. Open reconstruction of pediatric and adolescent urethral strictures: Long-term followup. J Urol. 2003 May 1;169(5):1818-21. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000056035.37591.9f. PMID: 12686852
- 51. Xu YM, Feng C, Sa YL, Fu Q, Zhang J, Xie H. Outcome of 1-stage urethroplasty using oral mucosal grafts for the treatment of urethral strictures associated with genital lichen sclerosus. Urology. 2014 Jan;83(1):232-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.08.035. PMID: 24200196
- 52. Hudak SJ, Lubahn JD, Kulkarni S, Morey AF. Single-stage reconstruction of complex anterior urethral strictures using overlapping dorsal and ventral buccal mucosal grafts. BJU Int. 2012 Aug;110 (4):592-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10787.x. PMID: 22192812
- 53. Heinke T, Gerharz EW, Bonfig R, Riedmiller H. Ventral onlay urethroplasty using buccal mucosa for complex stricture repair. Urology. 2003 May 1;61 (5):1004-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(02)02523-2. PMID: 12736024
- 54. Cecen K, Karadag MA, Demir A, Kocaaslan R. PlasmaKinetic versus cold knife internal urethrotomy in terms of recurrence rates: A prospective randomized study. Urol Int. 2014 Aug 14;:Epub ahead of print. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000363249.
- 55. Qu YC, Zhang WP, Sun N, Huang CR, Tian J, Li ML, Song HC, Li N. Immediate or delayed repair of pelvic fracture urethral disruption defects in young boys: Twenty years of comparative experience. Chin Med J. 2014;127(19):3418-22. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999,20141205.

- 56. Seo IY, Lee JW, Park SC, Rim JS. Long-Term outcome of primary endoscopic realignment for bulbous urethral injuries: Risk factors of urethral stricture. Int Neurourol J. 2012 Dec;16(4):196-200. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.5213/inj.2012.16.4.196.
- 57. Erickson BA, Elliott SP, Voelzke BB, Myers JB, Broghammer JA, Smith III TG, McClung CD, Alsikafi NF, Brant WO. Multi-institutional 1-Year bulbar urethroplasty outcomes using a standardized prospective cystoscopic follow-up protocol. Urology. 2014 Jul;84(1):213-6. Epub 2014 May 14. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2014.01.054. PMID: 24837453
- 58. Peskar DB, Perovic AV. Comparison of radiographic and sonographic urethrography for assessing urethral strictures. Eur Radiol. 2004 Jan;14(1):137-44.
- 59. Bach P, Rourke K. Independently interpreted retrograde urethrography does not accurately diagnose and stage anterior urethral stricture: The importance of urologist-performed urethrography. Urology. 2014 May;83(5):1190-3. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.12.063.
- 60. Gupta S, Majumdar B, Tiwari A, Gupta RK, Kumar A, Gujral RB. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures: Correlation with radiographic urethrography. J Clin Ultrasound. 1993;21(4):231-9. PMID: 8478455
- 61. Akano AO. Evaluation of male anterior urethral strictures by ultrasonography compared with retrograde urethrography. West Afr J Med. 2007 Apr-Jun;26(2):102-5. PMID: 17939309
- 62. Gong EM, Arellano CMR, Chow JS, Lee RS. Sonourethrogram to manage adolescent anterior urethral stricture. J Urol. 2010 Oct;184(4):1699-702. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2010.03.074. PMID: 20728141

- 63. Mitterberger M, Christian G, Pinggera GM, Bartsch G, Strasser H, Pallwein L, Frauscher F. Gray scale and color Doppler sonography with extended field of view technique for the diagnostic evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. J Urol. 2007 Mar;177 (3):992-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2006.10.026. PMID: 17296394
- 64. Choudhary S, Singh P, Sundar E, Kumar S, Sahai A. A comparison of sonourethrography and retrograde urethrography in evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. Clin Radiol. 2004 Aug 1;59(8):736-42. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2004.01.014. PMID: 15262549
- 65. Kochakarn W, Muangman V, Viseshsindh V, Ratana-Olarn K, Gojaseni P. Stricture of the male urethra: 29 years experience of 323 cases. J Med Assoc Thai. 2001 Jan;84(1):6-11. PMID: 11281501
- 66. Heidenreich A, Derschum W, Bonfig R, Wilbert DM. Ultrasound in the evaluation of urethral stricture disease: A prospective study in 175 patients. Br J Urol. 1994;74(1):93-8. PMID: 8044532
- 67. D'Elia A, Grossi FS, Barnaba D, Larocca L, Sallustio G, De Palma M, Raguso G. Ultrasound in the study of male urethral strictures. Acta Urol Ital. 1996;10 (4):275-7.
- 68. Gupta N, Dubey D, Mandhani A, Srivastava A, Kapoor R, Kumar A. Urethral stricture assessment: A prospective study evaluating urethral ultrasonography and conventional radiological studies. BJU Int. 2006 Jul;98(1):149-53. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06234.x. PMID: 16831160
- 69. Pushkarna R, Bhargava SK, Jain M. Ultrasonographic evaluation of abnormalities of the male anterior urethra. Indian J Radiol Imaging. 2000;10(2):89-91.
- 70. Samaiyar SS, Shukla RC, Dwivedi US, Singh PB. Role of sonourethrography in anterior urethral stricture. Ind J Urol. 1999;15(2):146-51.

- 71. Morey AF, McAninch JW. Role of preoperative sonourethrography in bulbar urethral reconstruction. J Urol. 1997 Oct;158(4):1376-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)64219-8. PMID: 9302124
- 72. Chiou RK, Anderson JC, Tran T, Patterson RH, Wobig R, Taylor RJ, McAninch JW. Evaluation of urethral strictures and associated abnormalities using high- resolution and color Doppler ultrasound. Urology. 1996;47(1):102-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(99)80391-4. PMID: 8560640
- 73. Nash PA, McAninch JW, Bruce JE, Hanks DK. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. J Urol. 1995;154(1):72-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)67231-8. PMID: 7776459
- 74. Morey AF, McAninch JW. Sonographic staging of anterior urethral strictures. J Urol. 2000 Apr;163 (4):1070-5. Review. PubMed PMID: 10737469.
- 75. Terlecki RP, Steele MC, Valadez C, Morey AF. Urethral rest: role and rationale in preparation for anterior urethroplasty. Urology. 2011 Jun;77 (6):1477-81. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2011.01.042. Epub 2011 Apr 21. PubMed PMID: 21513968.
- 76. Steenkamp JW, Heyns CF, De Kock ML. Internal urethrotomy versus dilation as treatment for male urethral strictures: A prospective, randomized comparison. J Urol. 1997 Jan;157(1):98-101. PMID: 8976225
- 77. Heyns CF, Steenkamp JW, De Kock ML, Whitaker P. Treatment of male urethral strictures: Is repeated dilation or internal urethrotomy useful? J Urol. 1998 Aug;160(2):356-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)62894-5. PMID: 9679876
- 78. Launonen E, Sairanen J, Ruutu M, Taskinen S. Role of visual internal urethrotomy in pediatric urethral strictures. J Pediatr Urol. 2014 Jun;10(3):545-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2013.11.018. PMID: 24388665

- 79. Hafez AT, ElAssmy A, Dawaba MS, Sarhan O, Bazeed M. Long-term outcome of visual internal urethrotomy for the management of pediatric urethral strictures. J Urol. 2005 Feb;173(2):595-7. A I s o a v a i l a b l e: h t t p://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000151339.42841.6e. PMID: 15643267
- 80. Kumar S, Kapoor A, Ganesamoni R, Nanjappa B, Sharma V, Mete UK. Efficacy of holmium laser urethrotomy in combination with intralesional triamcinolone in the treatment of anterior urethral stricture. Korean J Urol. 2012 Sep;53(9):614-8. PMID: 23060998
- 81. Zehri AA, Ather MH, Afshan Q. Predictors of recurrence of urethral stricture disease following optical urethrotomy. Int J Surg. 2009;7(4):361-4. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2009.05.010. PMID: 19500695
- 82. Atak M, Tokgoz H, Akduman B, Erol B, Donmez I, Hanc V, Turksoy O, Mungan NA. Low-power holmium:YAG laser urethrotomy for urethral stricture disease: comparison of outcomes with the cold-knife technique. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2011 Nov;27(11):503-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2011.06.013. PMID: 22005159
- 83. Vicente J, Salvador J, Caffaratti J. Endoscopic urethrotomy versus urethrotomy plus Nd-YAG laser in the treatment of urethral stricture. Eur Urol. 1990;18(3):166-8. PMID: 2261927
- 84. Mazdak H, Izadpanahi MH, Ghalamkari A, Kabiri M, Khorrami MH, Nouri-Mahdavi K, Alizadeh F, Zargham M, Tadayyon F, Mohammadi A, Yazdani M. Internal urethrotomy and intraurethral submucosal injection of triamcinolone in short bulbar urethral strictures. Int Urol Nephrol. 2010 Sep;42(3):565-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11255-009-9663-5. PMID: 19949861
- 85. Zhang K, Qi E, Zhang Y, Sa Y, Fu Q. Efficacy and safety of local steroids for urethra strictures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endourol. 2014 Aug;28(8):962-8. Epub 2014 Jun 3. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/end.2014.0090. PMID: 24745607

- 86. Mazdak H, Meshki I, Ghassami F. Effect of mitomycin C on anterior urethral stricture recurrence after internal urethrotomy. Eur Urol. 2007 Apr;51(4):1089-92; discussion 1092. Epub 2006 Nov 27. PubMed PMID: 17157434.
- 87. Srivastava A, Dutta A, Jain DK. Initial experience with lingual mucosal graft urethroplasty for anterior urethral strictures. Med J Armed Forces India. 2013;69(1):16-20. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2012.05.006. PMID: 24532928
- 88. Khan S, Khan RA, Ullah A, ul Haq F, ur Rahman A, Durrani SN, Khan MK. Role of clean intermittent self catheterisation (CISC) in the prevention of recurrent urethral strictures after internal optical urethrotomy. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2011 Apr-Jun;23(2):22-5. PMID: 24800335
- 89. Giannakopoulos X, Grammeniatis E, Gartzios A, Tsoumanis P, Kammenos A. Sachse urethrotomy versus endoscopic urethrotomy plus transurethral resection of the fibrous callus (Guillemin's technique) in the treatment of urethral stricture. Urology. 1997 Feb;49(2):243-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(96)00450-5. PMID: 9037288
- 90. Steenkamp JW, Heyns CF, de Kock ML. Outpatient treatment for male urethral strictures--dilatation versus internal urethrotomy. S Afr J Surg. 1997 Aug;35(3):125-30. PMID: 9429329
- 91. Pansadoro V, Emiliozzi P. Internal urethrotomy in the management of anterior urethral strictures: Long-term followup. J Urol. 1996 Jul;156(1):73-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)65942-1. PMID: 8648841
- 92. Afridi NG, Khan M, Nazeem S, Hussain A, Ahmad S, Aman Z. Intermittent urethral self dilatation for prevention of recurrent stricture. J Postgrad Med Inst. 2010 Jul-Sep;24(3):239-43
- 93. Matanhelia SS, Salaman R, John A, Matthews PN. A prospective randomized study of self-dilatation in the management of urethral strictures. J R Coll Surg Edinb. 1995;40(5):295-7. PMID: 8523302

- 94. Kjaergaard B, Walter S, Bartholin J, Andersen JT, Nohr S, Beck H, Jensen BN, Lokdam A, Glavind K. Prevention of urethral stricture recurrence using clean intermittent self-catheterization. Br J Urol. 1994;73(6):692-5. PMID: 8032838
- 95. Bodker A, Ostri P, Rye-Andersen J, Edvardsen L, Struckmann J. Treatment of recurrent urethral stricture by internal urethrotomy and intermittent self-catheterization: A controlled study of a new therapy. J Urol. 1992;148(2):308-10. PMID: 1635124
- 96. Murthy PV, Gurunadha Rao TH, Srivastava A, Sitha Ramaiah K, Ramamurthy N, Sasidharan K. Self-dilatation in urethral stricture recurrence. Indian J Urol. 1997;14(1):33-5.
- 97. Tammela TL, Permi J, Ruutu M, Talja M. Clean intermittent self-catheterization after urethrotomy for recurrent urethral strictures. Ann Chir Gynaecol. 1993;82:80-3. PMID: 8291876
- 98. Husmann DA, Rathbun SR. Long-term followup of visual internal urethrotomy for management of short (less than 1 mm) penile urethral strictures following hypospadias repair. J Urol. 2006 Oct;176 (4):1738-41. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(06)00617-3. PMID: 1694563799.
- 99. Jordan GH, Wessells H, Secrest C, Squadrito Jr JF, McAninch JW, Levine L, Van Der Burght M. Effect of a temporary thermo-expandable stent on urethral patency after dilation or internal urethrotomy for recurrent bulbar urethral stricture: Results from a 1 -year randomized trial. J Urol. 2013 Jul;190(1):130 -6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2013.01.014. PMID: 23313208
- 100.Hudak SJ, Atkinson TH, Morey AF. Repeat transurethral manipulation of bulbar urethral strictures is associated with increased stricture complexity and prolonged disease duration. J Urol. 2012 May;187(5):1691-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2011.12.074.

- 101.Helmy TE, Sarhan O, Hafez AT, Dawaba M, Ghoneim MA. Perineal anastomotic urethroplasty in a pediatric cohort with posterior urethral strictures: critical analysis of outcomes in a contemporary series. Urology. 2014 May;83(5):1145-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.11.028. PMID: 4485997
- 102.Fall B, Sow Y, Diallo Y, Sarr A, Ze ondo C, Thiam A, Sikpa KH, Diao B, Fall PA, Ndoye AK, Ba M, Diagne BA. Urethroplasty for male urethral strictures: Experience from a national teaching hospital in Senegal. Afr J Urol. 2014 Jun;20(2):76-81. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.afju.2014.02.003
- 103.Meeks JJ, Barbagli G, Mehdiratta N, Granieri MA, Gonzalez CM. Distal urethroplasty for isolated fossa navicularis and meatal strictures. BJU Int. 2012 Feb;109(4):616-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10248.x. PMID: 21615852
- 104.Tausch TJ, Peterson AC. Early aggressive treatment of lichen sclerosus may prevent disease progression. J Urol. 2012 Jun;187(6):2101-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.01.071.
- 105.Stormont TJ, Suman VJ, Oesterling JE. Newly diagnosed bulbar urethral strictures: Etiology and outcome of various treatments. J Urol. 1993;150 (5):1725-8. PMID: 8411459
- 106.Santucci R, Eisenberg L. Urethrotomy has a much lower success rate than previously reported. J Urol. 2010 May;183(5):1859-62. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2010.01.020. PMID: 20303110
- 107.Chowdhury PS, Nayak P, Mallick S, Gurumurthy S, David D, Mossadeq A. Single stage ventral onlay buccal mucosal graft urethroplasty for navicular fossa strictures. Indian J Urol. 2014 Jan;30(1):17-22. doi: 10.4103/0970-1591.124200. PubMed PMID: 24497676; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897046.

- 108.Onol SY, Onol FF, Gumus E, Topaktas R, Erdem MR. Reconstruction of distal urethral strictures confined to the glans with circular buccal mucosa graft. Urology. 2012 May;79(5):1158-62. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2012.01.046. PMID: 22449449
- 109.Virasoro R, Eltahawy EA, Jordan GH. Long-term follow-up for reconstruction of strictures of the fossa navicularis with a single technique. BJU Int. 2007 Nov;100(5):1143-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2007.07078.x. PMID: 17627782
- 110.Onol SY, Onol FF, Onur S, Inal H, Akbaş A, Köse O. Reconstruction of strictures of the fossa navicularis and meatus with transverse island fasciocutaneous penile flap. J Urol. 2008 Apr;179 (4):1437-40. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.11.055. Epub 2008 Mar 4. PubMed PMID: 18295281.
- 111.Armenakas NA, Morey AF, McAninch JW. Reconstruction of resistant strictures of the fossa navicularis and meatus. J Urol. 1998 Aug;160 (2):359-63. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)62895-7. PMID: 9679877
- 112.Venn SN, Mundy AR. Urethroplasty for balanitis xerotica obliterans. Br J Urol. 1998;81(5):735-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-410X.1998.00634.x. PMID: 9634051
- 113.Goel A, Goel A, Dalela D, Sankhwar SN. Meatoplasty using double buccal mucosal graft technique. Int Urol Nephrol. 2009;41(4):885-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11255-009-9555-8. PMID: 19350407
- 114.Kozinn SI, Harty NJ, Zinman L, Buckley JC. Management of complex anterior urethral strictures with multistage buccal mucosa graft reconstruction. Urology. 2013 Sep;82(3):718-22. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.03.081. PMID: 23876581

- 115.Al Ali M, Al Hajaj R. Johanson's staged urethroplasty revisited in the salvage treatment of 68 complex urethral stricture patients: Presentation of total urethroplasty. Eur Urol. 2001;39(3):268-71. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000052451. PMID: 11275717
- 116.Meeks JJ, Erickson BA, Gonzalez CM. Staged reconstruction of long segment urethral strictures in men with previous pediatric hypospadias repair. J Urol. 2009 Feb;181(2):685-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.10.013. PMID: 19091342
- 117.Noll F, Schreiter F. Meshgraft urethroplasty using split-thickness skin graft. Urol Int. 1990;45(1):44-9. PMID: 2305495
- 118.Myers JB, McAninch JW, Erickson BA, Breyer BN. Treatment of adults with complications from previous hypospadias surgery. J Urol. 2012 Aug;188(2):459-63. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.04.007.
- 119.Greenwell TJ, Venn SN, Mundy AR. Changing practice in anterior urethroplasty. BJU Int. 1999;83 (6):631-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-410X.1999.00010.x.
- 120.Aldaqadossi H, El Gamal S, ElNadey M, El Gamal O, Radwan M, Gaber M. Dorsal onlay (Barbagli technique) versus dorsal inlay (Asopa technique) buccal mucosal graft urethroplasty for anterior urethral stricture: a prospective randomized study. Int J Urol. 2014 Feb;21(2):185-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/iju.12235. PMID: 23931150
- 121.Hussein MM, Moursy E, Gamal W, Zaki M, Rashed A, Abozaid A. The use of penile skin graft versus penile skin flap in the repair of long bulbo-penile urethral stricture: A prospective randomized study. Urology. 2011 May;77(5):1232-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2010.08.064. PMID: 21208648

- 122.Barbagli G, Kulkarni SB, Fossati N, Larcher A, Sansalone S, Guazzoni G, Romano G, Pankaj JM, DellAcqua V, Lazzeri M. Long-term followup and deterioration rate of anterior substitution urethroplasty. J Urol. 2014 Sep;192(3):808-13. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2014.02.038. PMID: 24533999
- 123.Mathur RK, Nagar M, Mathur R, Khan F, Deshmukh C, Guru N. Single-stage preputial skin flap urethroplasty for long-segment urethral strictures: Evaluation and determinants of success. BJU Int. 2014 Jan;113(1):120-6. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bju.12361. PMID: 24053413
- 124.Hosseini J, Kaviani A, Hosseini M, Mazloomfard MM, Razi A. Dorsal versus ventral oral mucosal graft urethroplasty. Urol J. 2011;8(1):48-53. PMID: 21404203
- 125.Mangera A, Chapple C. Management of anterior urethral stricture: An evidence-based approach. Curr Opin Urol. 2010 Nov;20(6):453-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOU.0b013e32833ee8d5. PMID: 20827208
- 126.Mangera A, Patterson JM, Chapple CR. A systematic review of graft augmentation urethroplasty techniques for the treatment of anterior urethral strictures. Eur Urol. 2011 May;59 (5):797-814. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.02.010. Epub 2011 Feb 24. Review. PubMed PMID: 21353379.
- 127.Levine LA, Strom KH, Lux MM. Buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty for anterior urethral stricture repair: evaluation of the impact of stricture location and lichen sclerosus on surgical outcome. J Urol. 2007 Nov;178(5):2011-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2007.07.034. PMID: 17869301
- 128.Pahwa M, Gupta S, Pahwa M, Jain BD, Gupta M. A comparative study of dorsal buccal mucosa graft substitution urethroplasty by dorsal urethrotomy approach versus ventral sagittal urethrotomy approach. Adv Urol. 2013;2013:124836. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/124836. PMID: 24194754

- 129.Kinnaird AS, Levine MA, Ambati D, Zorn JD, Rourke KF. Stricture length and etiology as preoperative independent predictors of recurrence after urethroplasty: A multivariate analysis of 604 urethroplasties. Can Urol Assoc J. 2014 May;8(5-6):E296-300. doi: 10.5489/cuaj.1661. PubMed PMID: 24940453; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4039590.
- 130.Breyer BN, McAninch JW, Whitson JM, Eisenberg ML, Mehdizadeh JF, Myers JB, Voelzke BB. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for long-term urethroplasty outcome. J Urol. 2010 Feb;183 (2):613-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2009.10.018. PMID: 20018318
- 131.Mathur RK, Sharma A. Tunica albuginea urethroplasty for panurethral strictures. Urol J. 2010 Spring;7(2):120-4. PMID: 20535700
- 132.Gelman J, Siegel JA. Ventral and dorsal buccal grafting for 1-stage repair of complex anterior urethral strictures. Urology. 2014 Jun;83(6):1418-22. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2014.01.024. PMID: 24745799
- 133.Palminteri E, Manzoni G, Berdondini E, Di Fiore F, Testa G, Poluzzi M, Molon A. Combined dorsal plus ventral double buccal mucosa graft in bulbar urethral reconstruction. Eur Urol. 2008 Jan;53 (1):81-90. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2007.05.033. PMID: 17583417
- 134.Peterson AC, Palminteri E, Lazzeri M, Guanzoni G, Barbagli G, Webster GD. Heroic measures may not always be justified in extensive urethral stricture due to lichen sclerosus (balanitis xerotica obliterans). Urology. 2004 Sep;64(3):565-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2004.04.035. PMID: 15351594
- 135.Barbagli G, De Angelis M, Romano G, Lazzeri M. Clinical outcome and quality of life assessment in patients treated with perineal urethrostomy for anterior urethral stricture disease. J Urol. 2009 Aug;182(2):548-57. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2009.04.012. PMID: 19524945

- 136.Dubey D, Vijjan V, Kapoor R, Srivastava A, Mandhani A, Kumar A, Ansari MS. Dorsal onlay buccal mucosa versus penile skin flap urethroplasty for anterior urethral strictures: results from a randomized prospective trial. J Urol. 2007 Dec;178 (6):2466-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.010. PMID: 17937943
- 137.Kamp S, Knoll T, Osman M, Hacker A, Michel MS, Alken P. Donor-site morbidity in buccal mucosa urethroplasty: lower lip or inner cheek?. BJU Int. 2005 Sep;96(4):619-23. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2005.05695.x. PMID: 16104921
- 138.Trivedi S, Kumar A, Goyal NK, Dwivedi US, Singh PB. Urethral reconstruction in balanitis xerotica obliterans. Urol Int. 2008 Oct;81(3):285-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000151405. PMID: 18931544
- 139.Rourke K, McKinny S, St Martin B. Effect of wound closure on buccal mucosal graft harvest site morbidity: results of a randomized prospective trial. Urology. 2012 Feb;79(2):443-7. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2011.08.073. Epub 2011 Nov 25. PubMed PMID: 22119261.
- 140.Palminteri E, Berdondini E, Colombo F, Austoni E. Small intestinal submucosa (SIS) graft urethroplasty: short-term results. Eur Urol. 2007 Jun;51(6):1695-701. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2006.12.016. PMID: 17207913
- 141.Farahat YA, Elbahnasy AM, El Gamal OM, Ramadan AR, El Abd SA, Taha MR. Endoscopic urethroplasty using small intestinal submucosal patch in cases of recurrent urethral stricture: A preliminary study. J Endourol. 2009 Dec 1;23(12):2001-5. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/end.2009.0074. PMID: 19839728

- 142.Gargollo PC, Cai AW, Borer JG, Retik AB. Management of recurrent urethral strictures after hypospadias repair: Is there a role for repeat dilation or endoscopic incision? J Pediatr Urol. 2011 Feb;7(1):34-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2010.03.007. PMID: 20462798
- 143.Koraitim MM. The lessons of 145 posttraumatic posterior urethral strictures treated in 17 years. J Urol. 1995;153(1):63-66. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00005392-199501000-00024. PMID: 7966793
- 144.Kapoor R, Srivastava A, Vashishtha S, Singh UP, Srivastava A, Ansari MS, Kapoor R, Pradhan MR. Preputial/penile skin flap, as a dorsal onlay or tubularized flap: A versatile substitute for complex anterior urethral stricture. BJU Int. 2012 Dec;110 (11 Pt C):E1101-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2012.11296.x. PMID: 22863081
- 145.McAninch JW, Morey AF. Penile circular fasciocutaneous skin flap in 1-stage reconstruction of complex anterior urethral strictures. J Urol. 1998 Apr;159(4):1209-13. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)63558-4. PMID: 9507836
- 146.Barbagli G, De Angelis M, Palminteri E, Lazzeri M. Failed hypospadias repair presenting in adults. Eur Urol. 2006 May;49(5):887-95. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2006.01.027.
- 147.Borboroglu PG, Sands JP, Roberts JL, Amling CL. Risk factors for vesicourethral anastomotic stricture after radical prostatectomy. Urology. 2000 Jul;56(1):96-100. PubMed PMID: 10869633.
- 148.Surya BV, Provet J, Johanson KE, Brown J. Anastomotic strictures following radical prostatectomy: risk factors and management. J Urol. 1990 Apr;143(4):755-8. PMID: 2313800

- 149.Brede C, Angermeier K, Wood H. Continence outcomes after treatment of recalcitrant postprostatectomy bladder neck contracture and review of the literature. Urology. 2014;83(3):648-52. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.10.042. PMID: 24365088
- 150.Pfalzgraf D, Beuke M, Isbarn H, Reiss CP, Meyer-Moldenhauer WH, Dahlem R, Fisch M. Open retropubic reanastomosis for highly recurrent and complex bladder neck stenosis. J Urol. 2011 Nov;186(5):1944-7. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2011.07.040. PMID: 21944115
- 151.Ramchandani P, Banner MP, Berlin JW, Dannenbaum MS, Wein AJ. Vesicourethral anastomotic strictures after radical prostatectomy: Efficacy of transurethral balloon dilation. Radiology. 1994 Nov;193(2):345-9. PMID: 7972741
- 152.Vanni AJ, Zinman LN, Buckley JC. Radial urethrotomy and intralesional mitomycin C for the management of recurrent bladder neck contractures. J Urol. 2011 Jul;186(1):156-60. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2011.03.019. Epub 2011 May 14. PubMed PMID: 21575962.
- 153.Redshaw JD, Broghammer JA, Smith TG 3rd, Voelzke BB, Erickson BA, McClung CD, Elliott SP, Alsikafi NF, Presson AP, Aberger ME, Craig JR, Brant WO, Myers JB. Intralesional injection of mitomycin C at transurethral incision of bladder neck contracture may offer limited benefit: TURNS Study Group. J Urol. 2015 Feb;193(2):587-92. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.08.104. Epub 2014 Sep 6. PubMed PMID: 25200807; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4307389.
- 154.Nikolavsky D, Blakely SA, Hadley DA, Knoll P, Windsperger AP, Terlecki RP, Flynn BJ. Open reconstruction of recurrent vesicourethral anastomotic stricture after radical prostatectomy. Int Urol Nephrol. 2014 Oct 25;46(11):2147-52. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11255-014-0816-9.

- 155.Elliott SP, McAninch JW, Chi T, Doyle SM, Master VA. Management of severe urethral complications of prostate cancer therapy. J Urol. 2006 Dec;176(6 Pt 1):2508-13. PubMed PMID: 17085144.
- 156.Secrest CL, Madjar S, Sharma AK, Covington-Nichols C. Urethral reconstruction in spinal cord injury patients. J Urol. 2003 Oct;170(4 Pt 1):1217-21; discussion 1221. PubMed PMID: 14501728.
- 157.Casey JT, Erickson BA, Navai N, Zhao LC, Meeks JJ, Gonzalez CM. Urethral reconstruction in patients with neurogenic bladder dysfunction. J Urol. 2008 Jul; 180(1):197-200. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2008.03.056. Epub 2008 May 21. PubMed PMID: 18499188.
- 158.Das S, Tunuguntla HS. Balanitis xerotica obliterans --a review. World J Urol. 2000 Dec;18(6):382-7. Review. PubMed PMID: 11204255.
- 159.Pugliese JM, Morey AF, Peterson AC. Lichen sclerosus: review of the literature and current recommendations for management. J Urol. 2007 Dec;178(6):2268-76. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.024. PMID: 17936829
- 160.Depasquale I, Park AJ, Bracka A. The treatment of balanitis xerotica obliterans. BJU Int. 2000 Sep;86 (4):459-65. Review. PubMed PMID: 10971272.
- 161.Barbagli G, Palminteri E, Mirri F, Guazzoni G, Turini D, Lazzeri M. Penile carcinoma in patients with genital lichen sclerosus: a multicenter survey. J Urol. 2006 Apr;175(4):1359-63. PubMed PMID: 16515998.
- 162.Nasca MR, Innocenzi D, Micali G. Penile cancer among patients with genital lichen sclerosus. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1999 Dec;41(6):911-4. PubMed PMID: 10570372.
- 163.Powell J, Robson A, Cranston D, Wojnarowska F, Turner R. High incidence of lichen sclerosus in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the penis. Br J Dermatol. 2001 Jul;145(1):85-9. PubMed PMID: 11453912.

- 164.Kulkarni S, Barbagli G, Kirpekar D, Mirri F, Lazzeri M. Lichen sclerosus of the male genitalia and urethra: surgical options and results in a multicenter international experience with 215 patients. Eur Urol. 2009 Apr;55(4):945-56.
- 165.Blaschko SD, McAninch JW, Myers JB, Schlomer BJ, Breyer BN. Repeat urethroplasty after failed urethral reconstruction: Outcome analysis of 130 patients. J Urol. 2012 Dec;188(6):2260-4. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.07.101. PMID: 23083654
- 166.Singh BP, Andankar MG, Swain SK, Das K, Dassi V, Kaswan HK, Agrawal V, Pathak HR. Impact of prior urethral manipulation on outcome of anastomotic urethroplasty for post-traumatic urethral stricture. Urology. 2010 Jan;75(1):179-82. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2009.06.081. PMID: 19854488
- 167.Figler BD, Malaeb BS, Dy GW, Voelzke BB, Wessells H. Impact of graft position on failure of single-stage bulbar urethroplasties with buccal mucosa graft. Urology. 2013 Nov;82(5):1166-70. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.07.013.
- 168.Barbagli G, Guazzoni G, Lazzeri M. One-stage bulbar urethroplasty: retrospective analysis of the results in 375 patients. Eur Urol. 2008 Apr;53 (4):828-33. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2008.01.041. PMID: 18243497
- 169.Barbagli G, Morgia G, Lazzeri M. Dorsal onlay skin graft bulbar urethroplasty: long-term follow-up. Eur Urol. 2008 Mar;53(3):628-34. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2007.08.019. PMID: 17728049
- 170.Gimbernat H, Arance I, Redondo C, MeilÃin E, Ramón de Fata F, Angulo JC. Analysis of the factors involved in the failure of urethroplasty in men. Actas Urol Esp. 2014 Mar;38(2):96-102. Also a vailable: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acuro.2013.07.003. PMID: 24051326

- 171.Hwang JH, Kang MH, Lee YT, Park DS, Lee SR. Clinical factors that predict successful posterior urethral anastomosis with a gracilis muscle flap. Korean J Urol. 2013 Oct;54(10):710-4. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.4111/kju.2013.54.10.710. PMID: 24175047
- 172.Whitson JM, McAninch JW, Elliott SP, Alsikafi NF. Long-term efficacy of distal penile circular fasciocutaneous flaps for single stage reconstruction of complex anterior urethral stricture disease. J Urol. 2008 Jun;179(6):2259-64. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.01.087. PMID: 18423682
- 173.Kessler TM, Schreiter F, Kralidis G, Heitz M, Olianas R, Fisch M. Long-term results of surgery for urethral stricture: A statistical analysis. J Urol. 2003 Sep 1;170(3):840-4. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000080842.99332.94. PMID: 12913712
- 174.Kostakopoulos A, Makrychoritis K, Deliveliotis Ch, Nazlidou I, Picramenos D. Contribution of transcutaneous ultrasonography to the evaluation of urethral strictures. Int Urol Nephrol. 1998;30 (1):85-9. PMID: 9569118
- 175.Bircan MK, Sahin H, Korkmaz K. Diagnosis of urethral strictures: Is retrograde urethrography still necessary? Int Urol Nephrol. 1996;28(6):801-4. PMID: 9089050
- 176.Badlani GH, Press SM, Defalco A, Oesterling JE, Smith AD. Urolume endourethral prosthesis for the treatment of urethral stricture disease: Long-term results of the North American Multicenter Urolume trial. Urology. 1995;45(5):846-56. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(99)80093-4. PMID: 7747374
- 177.Milroy E, Allen A. Long-term results of urolume urethral stent for recurrent urethral strictures. J Urol. 1996 Mar;155(3):904-8. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)66342-0. PMID: 8583603

Male Urethral Stricture

178.Hussain M, Greenwell TJ, Shah J, Mundy A. Longterm results of a self-expanding wallstent in the treatment of urethral stricture. BJU Int. 2004 Nov;94(7):1037-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.05100.x. PMID: 15541123

179.Sertcelik N, Sagnak L, Imamoglu A, Temel M, Tuygun C. The use of self-expanding metallic urethral stents in the treatment of recurrent bulbar urethral strictures: Long-term results. BJU Int. 2000;86(6):686-9. Also available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-410X.2000.00891.x. PMID: 11069377

180.Ashken MH, Coulange C, Milroy EJ, Sarramon JP. European experience with the urethral Wallstent for urethral strictures. Eur Urol. 1991;19(3):181-5. PMID: 1855523

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AUSAI American Urological Association Symptom Index

CCT Controlled clinical trials

DVIU Direct visual internal urethrotomy

EPA Excision and primary anastomosis

IIEF International Index of Erectile Function

LS Lichen Sclerosis

LUTS Lower urinary tract symptoms

MSHQ Men's Sexual Health Questionnaire

PFUD Pelvic fracture urethral disruption

PFUI Pelvic fracture urethral injury

PRM Patient reported measures

RCT Randomized controlled trial

RUG Retrograde urethrography

SP Suprapubic

UTI Urinary tract infection

VCUG Voiding cystourethrography

VTE Deep venous thromboembolism

Male Urethral Stricture

Male Urethral Stricture Panel

Hunter Wessells, MD, Co-Chair University of Washington Seattle, WA

Richard A. Santucci, MD, Co-Chair The Detroit Medical Center Detroit, MI

Kenneth W. Angermeier, MD Cleveland Clinic Cleveland, OH

Sean P. Elliott, MD University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN

Christopher M. Gonzales, MD Northwestern Medical Faculty Foundation Chicago, IL

Ron T. Kodama, MD Sunnybrook Heath Sciences Centre Toronto, ON Canada

Andrew C. Peterson, MD Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC

Keith Rourke, MD University of Alberta Edmonton, AB Canada

John T. Stoffel, MD (PGC Representative) University of Michigan Medical Center Ann Arbor, MI

Alex Vanni, MD Lahey Clinic Medical Center Burlington, MA

Bryan Voelzke, MD University of Washington Seattle, WA

Lee Zhao, MD NYU Medical Center New York, NY

Consultants

James Reston, Ph.D.

Staff

Heddy Hubbard, PhD, MPH, RN, FAAN Abid Khan, MHS, MPP Carla Foster, MPH Erin Kirkby, MS Nenellia K. Bronson, MA

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

All panel members completed COI disclosures. Those marked with (C) indicate that compensation was received. Disclosures listed include both topic— and non-topic-related relationships.

Consultant/Advisor: Sean Elliott, American Medical Systems (C), GT Urological (C)

Meeting Participant or Lecturer: Kenneth Angermeier, American Medical Systems (C); Sean Elliott, American Medical Systems (C); Ron Kodama, Journal of Urology/GURS; Andrew Peterson, American Medical Systems, Inc. (C); Hunter Wessells, National Institutes of Health

Scientific Study or Trial: Ron Kodama, Journal of Urology/GURS; Andrew Peterson, American Medical Systems, Inc. (C); John Stoffel, Uroplasty; Hunter Wessells, National Institutes of Health

Leadership Position: Sean Elliott, Percuvision (C); **Ron Kodama**, Journal of Urology/GURS; **Andrew Peterson**, Society of Government Service Urologists, Southeastern Section— AUA Board of Directors, GURS Board of Directors

Other: Christopher Gonzalez, American Medical Systems; **Hunter Wessells,** National Institutes of Health

Male Urethral Stricture

Peer Reviewers

We are grateful to the persons listed below who contributed to the Guideline by providing comments during the peer review process. Their reviews do not necessarily imply endorsement of the Guideline.

Jennifer T. Anger, MD Noel A. Armenakas, MD Mark S. Austenfeld, MD Gregory T. Bales, MD Guido Barbagli, MD John M. Barry, MD William W. Bohnert, MD Timothy C. Brand, MD Rodney H. Breau, MD Benjamin N. Breyer, MD Joshua A. Broghammer, MD Jill C. Buckley, MD Frank N. Burks, MD Steven Eric Canfield, MD Justin Chee, MD Muhammad S. Choudhury, MD Peter E. Clark, MD John D. Denstedt, MD Daniel David Dugi III, MD Margit M. Fisch, MD Brian J. Flynn, MD Reynaldo G. Gomez, MD Uri Gur, MD Ty T. Higuchi, MD James R. Jezior, MD Melissa R. Kaufman, MD Louis R. Kavoussi, MD Sanjay B. Kulkarni, MD Deborah J. Lightner, MD Bahaa Sami Malaeb, MD Joshua J. Meeks, MD, PhD Douglas F. Milam, MD Manoj Monga, MD Raul Caesar Ordorica, MD Craig A. Peters, MD Robert Pickard, MD Kevin McVary, MD Hassan Razvi, MD Daniel I. Rosenstein, MD Charles L. Secrest, MD Eila Curlee Skinner, MD Daniel Stein, MD Chandru P. Sundaram, MD Ryan P. Terlecki, MD Jeremy Brian Tonkin, MD Ramon Virasoro, MD J. Stuart Wolf, Jr., MD Hadley M. Wood, MD Guo-bing Xiong, MD

DISCLAIMER

This document was written by the Male Urethral Stricture Guideline Panel of the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc., which was created in 2015. The Practice Guidelines Committee (PGC) of the AUA selected the committee chair. Panel members were selected by the chair. Membership of the panel included specialists in urology with specific expertise on this disorder. The mission of the panel was to develop recommendations that are analysis-based or consensus-based, depending on panel processes and available data, for optimal clinical practices in the treatment of male urethral strictures.

Funding of the panel was provided by the AUA. Panel members received no remuneration for their work. Each member of the panel provides an ongoing conflict of interest disclosure to the AUA.

While these guidelines do not necessarily establish the standard of care, AUA seeks to recommend and to encourage compliance by practitioners with current best practices related to the condition being treated. As medical knowledge expands and technology advances, the guidelines will change. Today these evidence-based guidelines statements represent not absolute mandates but provisional proposals for treatment under the specific conditions described in each document. For all these reasons, the guidelines do not pre-empt physician judgment in individual cases.

Treating physicians must take into account variations in resources, and patient tolerances, needs, and preferences. Conformance with any clinical guideline does not guarantee a successful outcome. The guideline text may include information or recommendations about certain drug uses ('off label') that are not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), or about medications or substances not subject to the FDA approval process. AUA urges strict compliance with all government regulations and protocols for prescription and use of these substances. The physician is encouraged to carefully follow all available prescribing information about indications, contraindications, precautions and warnings. These guidelines and best practice statements are not in-tended to provide legal advice about use and misuse of these substances.

Although guidelines are intended to encourage best practices and potentially encompass available technologies with sufficient data as of close of the literature review, they are necessarily time-limited. Guidelines cannot include evaluation of all data on emerging technologies or management, including those that are FDA-approved, which may immediately come to represent accepted clinical practices.

For this reason, the AUA does not regard technologies or management which are too new to be addressed by this guideline as necessarily experimental or investigational.